### Lexis Search Advantage for Courts Solution

Turn Passive Information into Active Intelligence with Lexis® Search Advantage

# Would you and your court's staff like to:

- Make the most of your collective knowledge and insight?
- Benefit from easier access to your court's case files and other internal documents?
- Increase efficiency in your courts by "Shepardizing" historical documents?
- Effectively tap your historical work product when researching and drafting new orders and opinions?

## Does your court's staff face the challenge of:

- Maintaining multiple filing and retrieval systems?
- Lost or misplaced files, sometimes resulting in recreating work that already exists?

With Lexis Search Advantage for Courts, judges and their staff can quickly locate case files and other internal documents, with the ability to "Shepardize" old case citations. Lexis Search Advantage for Courts also enables you to link directly to related internal documents, with the added benefit of integrated access to related research on *lexis.com*.

2009 SIIA

Powered by the same

technology that won the 2009 Codie Award for

"Best Enterprise Search Engine"

#### **Overcome challenges with Lexis Search Advantage for Courts**

- Eliminate the challenge of deciding how to categorize your documents
- **Simplify document retrieval** with full text and segment searching across your repositories
- View your documents in their native formats
- Speed your research by linking between related internal documents
- Confirm that citations you've used in the past are still good law with embedded *Shepard's*<sup>®</sup> Signal<sup>™</sup> indicators
- Enrich your research and capture new insights with integrated access to related topics on *lexis.com*

#### Superior document security/access

- Assures security and maintains the confidentiality of a court's assets because all documents reside on a court's network behind a court's firewall
- Maintains a high level of security for controlling document access and entitlement and maintaining the confidentiality of a court's internal documents
- **Permits only valid, authorized internal users** who have access to the original documents the ability to access the searchable document repository

### **LexisNexis delivers**

No one knows more about delivering customized information solutions than LexisNexis. After all, we've been pioneering and perfecting the science of turning passive information into active intelligence for 35 years.



### Lexis Search Advantage for Courts Solution

Sort by: Relevance * Date Author Best Pr	actice	Results 1-50 of about 8,809
ey Topics	E Select/deselect all on this page	Selected results: 0 🔻 🎆 💌
p 354 Results n	ext	
+ State Court Of Fulton County (230)		page 1 of 8
+ District Gourt (61)	<ol> <li>□ @ Oak Ridge Baptist Church Arguments &amp; Authorities to Defendants Filings         <ul> <li>plaintiff, Mansell, sued her former law partner for breach of contract, conversion, breach of the duty of good faith and fair communication would be outcome determinative of Mansell's breach of contract, conversion, good faith and fair dealing, negligence, negligent</li> <li>You marked as best practice: Inf Marked as best practice 1 (2 ratings)</li> <li>Tags: good faith                  <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ol>	
+ Summary Judgment (17)		
+ Warranty (21)		
+ Counterclaim (10)		
+ Damages, Subcontractor (6)		
+ Bad Faith Claims (6)		
Gonstruction (3)		
<ul> <li>Good Faith And Fair Dealing (2)</li> </ul>	Submitted: Jan-16-2007 - <u>Jennifer Rustay</u> 🗳 - Class: Court Papers > Pleadings	
Motion For Class (2)	Submitted: Jan-16-2007 - <u>Jenniter Hustay</u> - Class: Court Papers > Pleadings	
ore   all	2. 🗍 🗐 Plaintiffs Motion for Summary Judgment Against General Refractories	
efine Results by	breach of a Rule 11 settlement agreement and breach of contract against Defendant GEI	NERAL REERACTORIES COMPANY ("Defendent") and
User Tags	in or seal of a Rule 11 sectoment agreement and orean of contract generation and contract reaction at Courts 7 and in for preach of a Rule 11 agreement and breach of contract generating and the sectometer of the sect	
ELegal Topics	You marked as best practice: 🗵 😭 Marked as best practice 1 (1 rating)	
Egai Topics	Tags: add a tag	pleadings, depositions, and affidavits submitted by the parties show that no
Document Classes	add new annotation	
Citations		novant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 🕮 56(c).
		s that may be drawn from it in the light most favorable to the non-movant.
Authors	Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co., 398 U.S. 144, 15	8-59, 90 S. Ct. 1598, 26 L. Ed. 2d 142 (1970) 🛡 🕮 . The party seeking sun
Dates	3. Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary -	e absence of a genuine issue of material fact. Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477
	The core of Bristol-wyers lawsuit is its preach q	
	317. 323-24. 106 S. Ct. 2548. 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (	1986) 🔺 🕮 . The burden then shifts to the non-movant, who must go beyon

Lexis Search Advantage for Courts profiles the documents and creates legal taxonomies to help manage and navigate the results.


- Opening up a document, users will see hyperlinks for cases and codes. One click and the case or code appears in a new window.
- Shepard's Signals let users visually see the treatment of a case. If a case was important to a decision, the user will be able to instantly tell if something has happened to that case. When the user clicks the Shepard's Signal, a Shepard's Report will pop up in a new window.

#### III. Individual Capacity Claims under RLUIPA

Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 257, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986) 9 28.

As an initial matter, the Court turns to examine whether RLUIPA authorizes Plaintiff to recover damages against Defendants in their individual capacities. In a previous Order, the Court examined this question and, while not definitively resolving it, tentatively concluded that RLUIPA permits suit against prison officials in their individual capacities.

С



LexisNexis, *Shepard's* and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Other products or services may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies.

