

What's the Likely Outcome of Your New Case? LexisNexis[®] Verdict & Settlement Analyzer Shows You the Possibilities

New matter ... do you recommend settlement, consider trial ... or pass on the matter totally? What are the opportunities ... and what are the risks?

Early case assessment results in more favorable outcomes in 76 percent of cases, yet 64 percent of litigators readily admit they just don't have time to complete deep research before selecting an initial course of action.*

Now time—and the facts—are on your side. LexisNexis® Verdict & Settlement Analyzer "charts" options for your consideration.

SPECIAL PRODUCT PREVIEW Coming to lexis.com[®] in July. Read More Now!





LexisNexis Verdict & Settlement Analyzer

Make better, more informed case-assessment decisions in seconds, by analyzing the largest, most comprehensive collection of verdict & settlement documents available at *lexis.com*[®] and revealing easy-to-interpret charts and graphs that show you:

- Exactly how similar cases have resolved (and for how much) for any particular jurisdiction
- The range of possible case outcomes as well as emerging trends
- Exposures, risks—and where opportunities may lie

Begin every matter by reviewing all the angles so you can develop your case strategy. Use the information from *LexisNexis Verdict & Settlement Analyzer* to support any next step you wish to consider and to help you gauge the staff and other resources you'll need to make it happen. Plus your *LexisNexis Verdict & Settlement Analyzer* results are compiled **into one, professional-looking report you can download easily** and share with clients to set their expectations realistically.




LexisNexis Verdict & Settlement Analyzer reports are designed around a simple concept: Point, click and view the information you expect to see. Then, as you contemplate the best case strategy, you can select new variables—new timeframe, settlement options, etc.—and assess trends there as well. Drill down into specific, relevant cases and return to the big picture. There's no charge to filter and re-filter as needed. And your search results are *automatically saved for 24 hours. Return and review—no additional charge*.

Review the Range of Options; Change variables quickly as you strategize.

Start by reviewing cases by year, resolution, average and/or median awards. View all the charts; trends and spikes that may need more exploration are evident. Then explore specific charts. **Hover on the graphic and see more details. Click to focus on a cross section** of cases most relevant to your issue. Your graphics are **redrawn automatically**, uncovering additional insights and trends.

Need a PDF report for presentations? Click the Save icon **a PDF report for presentations?** Click the Save icon **geometry of your results charts**—complete with a cover page summary of your search. Add comments. Save and share. One step ... professional results.



Each time you add/change a variable, your graphs are re-drawn and your cite list is updated automatically.

Add, clear and change variables as often as you need to see different perspectives. Even drill down further to cases most relevant to you.



Navigate to Vital Cases Quickly

The filtering toolbar also shows so much at a glance—and points out areas for further study. See how many cases were found in each category. Investigate any category (or several), focusing your results to look more closely at cases of interest. Even add additional search terms. Do it all—no extra charge!

What do you need to see? Focus in on specific:

- Jurisdictions—federal or state
- Areas of law
- Case Resolution, e.g., verdict, settlement, arbitration
- Award amounts—select the range you need
- Case dates

| Search tips | View tutorial |
|-------------|---------------|
| | |
| | Search |
| | |
| | Search tips |

Simple Search Form—and No Source Selection

Enter any legal concept, case facts, injury, etc. in the search box. *Note: You can focus the results of your search many different ways, so consider beginning broadly*. If you know you need to limit your results to specific **jurisdictions, areas of law, injuries or date ranges**, use the links to select these restrictions.

View—and Sort—Case Specifics

Ready to move beyond the charts to the details? The **Cite List** shows the specific verdict & settlement reports. See who won, award amount, jurisdiction and year at a glance, gleaning perspective even before you open a report. **And sort the cite list to surface the latest or oldest cases, greatest awards, most relevant to your search, etc.** Select a **case name link** to view more report specifics, such as *case facts and topics, verdict reporter, jurisdiction/judge, counsel and experts for plaintiff/defendant,* etc. When you open a report, a new case name tab displays at the top of your results so you can return to the report at any time during your research session. Never lose your place!

| ↓ 1 - 50 ▶ | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|--------|--|
| | Name | Jurisdiction | Resolution | Award | Year 🗸 | |
| 1. | The Village Spires Condominium Assoc Inc v. Qbe Insurance Corp | US District Court for the Southern District of Florida | Settlement | \$11,465,048 USD | 2008 | |
| 2. | PRUDENTIAL PLAZA ASSOCIATES vs. TURNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY | Illinois Circuit Court, Cook County | Defendant Verdict | \$8,334,428 USD | 1995 | |
| з. | Tiara Towers Condominium Association Inc v. Qbe Insurance Corp | | Settlement | \$7,600,000 USD | 2007 | |
| 4. | PARSONS/TECHNIP JOINT VENTURE (BAYPORT) vs. AMERICAN ACRYLNA, L.L.C. | | Defendant Verdict | \$6,000,000 USD | 2005 | |
| 5. | Confidential v. Confidential | | Settlement | \$2,353,180 USD | 1995 | |
| 6. | El-Ad Villagio Condominium Assoc Inc v. Lloyds Underwriters At London | Florida Circuit Court | Settlement | \$1,250,000 USD | 2009 | |
| 7. | County Of Alameda v. Nv Heathorn Inc ; U S Fidelity & Guaranty Co | California Superior Court, Alameda County | Defendant Verdict | \$894,238 USD | 2004 | |
| 8. | Bradley C. Gamble vs. Jeffrey S. Overton, Jerry D. Atkinson and Deborah A. Atkinson. | US District Court for the District of Wyoming | Plaintiff Verdict | \$750,000 USD | 2007 | |
| 9. | Ind'polis-Marion Co. Pub. Lib. v. Burns, et al. | Indiana Circuit Court, Boone County | Defendant Verdict | \$712,089 USD | 2009 | |
| 10. | TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE COMPANY vs. TECHNIP USA CORPORATION | | Plaintiff Verdict | \$555,540 USD | 2006 | |
| 11. | The Garland Company v. Drake Construction; Et Al ; Standard Slag | | Settlement | \$485,000 USD | 1994 | |
| 12. | M&W Christian Independent Trust; Et Al v. Westfield Development Inc; Caporina, Charles ; Gayken, Donald & Cynthia | Texas District Court, Harris County | Settlement | \$350,000 USD | 2002 | |
| 13. | EASTERN CONTRACTORS INC. vs. NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT | Massachusetts Superior Court, | Plaintiff Verdict | \$300,000 USD | 1994 | |

Need more information about LexisNexis Verdict & Settlement Analyzer?

Call: **1.800.543.6862**

www.lexisnexis.com/vsanalyzer

*Source: Cogent Research, May 2007 n=341 practicing litigators at mid-sized and large law firms.

LexisNexis, *lexis.com* and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Other products or services may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies. © 2010 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. L020221-0 0610



