

# INDEX

## A

### **ABA (American Bar Association)**

- improvements to jury selection, 81
- pre-instruction by judge, 122

### **Accident**

- inadvertent wrongdoing, 23, 102, 103
- undermining liability and damages, 224
- word choices, 396, 397

### **After the negligent act, 17–20**

- opening statement
- story of what the defendant did, 135

### **Age of juror**

- voir dire
- demographics affecting damages, 94, 95

### **American Bar Association**

- improvements to jury selection, 81
- pre-instruction by judge, 122

### **American Society of Trial Consultants**

- demographics of religion, using, 279

### **Anchoring**

- closing argument
- admission of some fault, 243
- cost of future services, 58
- creating a low-scale anchor, 369
- direct examination
- methodology of expert, 201
- economic damages, 29, 30
- medical malpractice
- differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case
- anchor what was wrong in general, 383

### noneconomic damages

- comparing to economic damages, 55, 56

### opening statement

- damages-only cases
- anchoring dollar amount in juror's minds, 164
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
- remembering something without too much repetition, 403

### **Anger of jurors, 18–20**

### **Appearance of client**

- direct examination
- acts outside of courtroom, 197, 198
- presence at trial, 194–197
- juror perceptions
- driving, client restricted from, 38, 197
- fighting spirit, emphasizing, 40
- monitoring behavior of client, 35
- out-of-court behavior of client, 37
- preparation of client, 36
- online resources
- knowing what's out there about the client or case, 38, 39, 423–425
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
- client interaction, 417–419
- first impression of client, 417, 418

### **Arming jurors, 70**

- notes, 219

### **ASTC (American Society of Trial Consultants)**

- demographics of religion, using, 279

**Attack of client by defense, 22**

**Attitude**

- online resources
  - researching individuals
    - political affiliation or contributions, 461
    - social networking sites, 462
- voir dire
  - caretakers and noncaretakers, 96
  - demographics affecting damages, 94, 278
  - depth of beliefs, 307–310
  - following up on an answer
    - all-purpose follow-up questions, 276
  - harms and losses only template, 73
  - improvements to the process, asking for, 273
  - judge-conducted questioning, 317
  - judge intervention, 303
  - poisoning jurors, 280
  - poisoning other jurors, 91
  - shallow or deep attitudes, 86
  - whole answer, getting, 289
  - work history, 294

**Attorneys**

- acts outside of courtroom, 198
- closing argument
  - generally. See Closing argument
  - speaking rate, 222
- juror anger at, 19, 20
- juror perceptions about, 6
- online resources. See Online resources
- opening statement
  - credibility with jury, 112, 113
  - dress and adornment of attorney, 175
  - eye contact with jury, 176
  - generally. See Opening statement
  - movement, 176

- pitfalls and tips, 391–421. See Pitfalls and tips for lawyers
- restoring public respect and trust, 255–269. See Restoring public respect and trust

**B**

**Balancing**

- making up for what cannot be fixed or helped, 30, 31

**Behavior of client**

- direct examination
  - acts outside of courtroom, 197, 198
- juror perceptions
  - avoidance of harm, 35
  - driving, client restricted from, 38, 197
  - fighting spirit, emphasizing, 40
  - generally, 33
  - irrelevant considerations, 35
  - out-of-court behavior of client, 37
  - preparation of client, 36
- online resources
  - knowing what's out there about the client or case, 38, 39, 423–425
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
  - client interaction, 417–419
  - first impression of client, 417, 418

**Bias**

- cross-examination
  - rules for analysis, 205
- online resources
  - researching individuals
    - watch for bias or conflicts of interest, 456
- voir dire
  - challenges for cause
    - open-ended questions to start, 313, 314

- defense poison questions creating
    - unfair bias, 281
  - limitations or restrictions, 79
  - sham rehabilitation, 80
- Bleier, Rocky**
  - books to help victims cope
    - Fighting Back, the Rocky Bleier Story, 262
- Blue, Lisa A.**, 81, 271, 272
- Bob Woodruff family foundation**, 265
- Bogusaria**
  - cross-examination
  - litigation syndrome, 211, 212
- Breaking the law**
  - closing argument
  - jury instructions, massaging
    - misconceptions about the law, 234
- Bullet points**
  - opening statement, 174
    - guided template, 329, 330
  - pitfalls and tips for lawyers
    - technological and visual aids, 415
- C**
- Calculating noneconomic damages**, 53, 54
  - voir dire, 91, 92
- Caps on damages**
  - asking about in voir dire, 93, 94
  - closing argument
    - life expectancy of senior citizens, 230
  - voir dire
    - depth of beliefs
      - favoring caps on damages, 307, 308
- Caretaker/noncaretaker scale**
  - voir dire, 96–98
- Case managers**
  - life-care plans, 157
- Causation**
  - closing argument
    - jury instructions, massaging
      - misconceptions about the law, 234
  - opening statement
    - causation and damages, 149–161, 349–363. *See* Opening statement
- Cause, challenge for**
  - voir dire, 312–315
    - closed-ended questions to finish, 314, 315
    - knowledge of the judge, 313
    - knowledge of the law, 312
    - noneconomic damages, 88
    - open-ended questions to start, 313, 314
    - reminders for the judge, 302, 303
    - rewording juror’s answer, 290
- Challenge for cause.** *See* **Cause, challenge for**
- Characteristics of client**
  - direct examination
    - acts outside of courtroom, 197, 198
  - juror perceptions
    - avoidance of harm, 35
    - driving, client restricted from, 38, 197
    - fighting spirit, emphasizing, 40
    - generally, 33
    - irrelevant considerations, 35
    - out-of-court behavior of client, 37
    - preparation of client, 36
  - online resources
    - knowing what’s out there about the client or case, 38, 39, 423–425

- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
  - client interaction, 417–419
  - first impression of client, 417, 418
- Child witnesses**
  - direct examination, 188
  - voir dire, 104, 105
- Chronological order**, 124, 161, 321
- Chronology**, 321
- Circle**
  - damages circle, 244
- Closed-ended questions**
  - voir dire
    - challenges for cause, 314, 315
- Closing argument**
  - admission of some fault, 242, 243
  - appropriateness of amount, 244, 245
  - arguments, 225
  - arming favorable jurors, 218–221
  - attention of the jury, holding, 216, 217
  - calculating intangible amounts, 238–242
  - comparative fault, apportioning, 243, 244
  - confusing language, avoiding, 251, 252
  - cumulation of ABC's, 221
  - defendant evasion of responsibility, 20
  - details, avoiding, 221
  - essentials, 223–227
  - favorable jurors, arming, 218–221
  - fear of jury of criticism after the verdict, 222, 223
  - first-person story, sample, 385–389
  - first thing you think, 246
  - first words spoken, 223
  - harms and losses only template, 75–78
  - harms instructions, 225
  - help client be helpful again, 254
  - holistic damages, 238
  - how jury can use the point being made, 222
  - intangibles, ratio to tangible losses, 235–238
    - calculating intangible amounts, 238–242
  - jobs of the jurors, laying out, 217
  - judge, showing time spent on non-economic damages, 253
  - judo law, turning around defense claims, 247–251
  - jury instructions, massaging, 231–235
    - language used, 235
    - misconceptions about the law, 233–235
    - selection and wording, 232, 233
  - keeping it simple (KISS), 221, 222
  - legalese, 235
  - length, 222
  - lesser harm, calculation of damages, 242
  - life expectancy
    - minimum life-care plan, using, 228–230
    - senior citizens, 230, 231
  - marshaling the evidence, avoiding, 215, 216
  - minimum life-care plan, using, 227–231
  - negligence instructions, 225
  - note-taking by jury, 219
  - nouns vs. pronouns, 251
  - people care vs. money care, 252, 253
  - personal parallels drawn by jury, 245, 246
  - plain English, 221
    - legalese, 235
  - preponderance template, 69–71
  - proportion of time spent on damages, 4
  - proportions, damages circle, 244
  - purpose of closing, 215, 216

- purpose of law vs. purpose of jury, 254
  - quick tips, 253, 254
  - safety of client after the fact, protecting, 247
  - speaking rate, 222
  - storytelling, 225–227
  - structure, 223
  - taking money from a good cause, undermining defense argument, 254
  - teaching the law, preponderance template, 70
  - too much money to one person, combating perception, 254
  - two futures – what difference the money will make, 246, 247
  - undermining defense arguments, 224, 225
    - taking money from a good cause, 254
  - vague language, avoiding, 251, 252
  - verbs, using, 252
  - videos shown by defense
    - judo law, turning around defense claims, 249–251
  - when to prepare, 216
  - who is being sued and why, 224
  - wrongful death, calculation of intangibles, 241, 242
- Colleges and universities**
- researching topics of the case
    - location-specific resources, 450
- Community, losses to, 31**
- Comparative fault, 243**
- Comparative negligence**
- closing argument
    - admission of some fault, 242, 243
    - apportionment, 243, 244
  - opening statement
    - undermining negligence defenses, 145–148, 347–349
    - sample opening, 373, 374
- Compensation, defined**
- harms and losses only template, 75
  - noneconomic damages, 54
  - punishment vs. compensation, 51
- Conduct of client**
- who gets the money, 33–41
- Conflicts of interest**
- online resources
    - researching individuals
      - watch for bias or conflicts of interest, 456
- Connecticut, 262, 267**
- Consortium claims, 57**
- opening statement, 173
- Content/malcontent scale**
- voir dire, 100
- Controlling the witness**
- cross-examination, 213, 214
  - direct examination, 182, 183
- Control/not in control scale**
- voir dire, 101
- Creager, Roger, 210, 477**
- Criminal records**
- researching individuals, 459, 460
- Cross-examination, 205–214**
- controlling witness, 213, 214
  - defense experts, subversion of testimony
    - hitchhiking, 206–209
  - direct examination
    - first witness to be cross-proof, 181
  - follow where the audience goes, 411, 412
  - hitchhiking
    - defense experts, subversion of testimony, 206–209
  - life expectancy estimates by defense, undermining, 209, 210

litigation syndrome, 211, 212  
malingered or exaggeration accusations, undermining, 210, 211  
rules for analysis, 205, 206

## D

### **Damages elements**

hedonistic losses, 93  
massaging jury instructions, 232–235  
noneconomic damages, 92

### **Danger facing client**

client's point of view, consequences of injuries, 7

### **Day-in-the-life videos**

direct examination, 191–194

### **Death cases**

closing argument  
calculation of intangibles, 241, 242  
opening statement  
mechanism of harm, 151

### **Defendant's bad conduct, 10–22**

### **Defense counsel**

anger of jurors, 19  
appearance of client, snide remarks, 36  
“more likely right than wrong,” 63

### **Demographics, 94, 95**

errors, 278  
peremptory challenges, 303

### **Differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case**

sample opening statement, 375–384

### **Direct examination, 179–203**

appearance of client  
acts outside of courtroom, 197, 198  
presence at trial, 194–197

attorneys, impressions of  
acts outside of courtroom, 198  
before and after witness, 185  
child witnesses, 188  
client, avoiding sounding like a whiner, 183  
controlling the witness, 182, 183  
cross-proof witness  
first witness requirement as, 181  
day-in-the-life videos, 191–194  
admissibility, 194  
advance editing, 194  
background images, 193  
comfort being on camera, 192  
home of client, conveying correct impression, 193, 194  
lighting, 193  
settlement conference, use at, 194  
sound, 193  
staged, avoiding perception of, 192  
time span beyond daytime, 192  
videographers, hiring, 192  
experts, 180  
high-school teachers, 198, 199  
how to use experts, 200–203  
paid experts, 199, 200  
feelings, not asking about, 184  
first witness, 180, 181  
grief counselors, 188, 189  
hollow advocacy, 186  
inclusion, gold standard, 180  
liability witnesses, using for damages, 191  
methodology of expert, 201–203  
minimum life-care plans, 189–191  
neutral stance by expert, 200  
number of witnesses to examine, 185  
pain counselors, 188, 189  
presence of client at trial, 194–197  
sequence of witnesses, 188  
short questions, 183  
spouses, 184

- standard for scope of examination, 179–181
  - story-based testimony, 185, 186
  - video examination, 180
  - worthwhile uses for money, discussing, 186–188
- Divine punishment**, 50
- Drake, Gillian**
- “being human,” 298
  - out-of-court behavior, 37
- Driving**
- defining negligence as outrageous, 14
  - direct examination
    - acts outside of courtroom, 197
  - in-trial impression, 36
  - juror perceptions, 38
  - make defendant face responsibility, 20
- E**
- Economic damages**
- anchoring, 29, 30
  - noneconomic damages compared, 55, 56
- Egregious conduct**, 17–20
- Elements of damages**
- hedonistic losses, 93
  - massaging jury instructions, 232–235
  - noneconomic damages, 92
- Emotion/nonemotion scale**
- voir dire, 98, 99
- Everyone does it**, 16
- Exaggeration**
- attack of client by defense, 22
  - cross-examination
    - undermining accusations of malingering, 210, 211
  - opening statement
    - causation and damages, 154
- voir dire
    - inoculating against defense poison, 287
- Examination of witness**
- cross-examination, 205–214. See Cross-examination
  - direct examination, 179–203. See Direct examination
- Exhibits**
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers, 416, 417
- Experts**
- defense experts
    - scope of testimony, motion to limit, 477–500
    - subversion of testimony on cross-examination, 206–209
  - direct examination, 180
    - high-school teachers, 198, 199
    - how to use experts, 200–203
    - paid experts, 199, 200
  - opening statement
    - geriatric medical specialists, life-care plans, 157
  - referring to experts, 122
  - rules that are not common-sense truth, 122
  - who is being sued and why
    - citing expert’s conclusion, 144, 145
- voir dire
    - harms consultants, 106
    - paid testimony, reaction to, 105
- Exposing Deceptive Defense Doctors**, 22, 69, 210, 249, 477
- Eye contact**
- opening statement, 176
- F**
- Facebook**
- social networking sites
    - researching individuals, 461, 462

- researching topics of the case
  - popular sites jurors will visit, 447
- Faison, William O.**
  - client's loss of self-image, 166
- False remorse**, 21, 22
- Family**
  - after the negligent act
    - dealing with what happened, 17, 135
  - appearance of family
    - juror perceptions, 35
    - online resources
      - knowing what's out there
        - about the client or case, 423–425
      - out of court behavior, 37
  - caretakers, as, 56–59
    - caretaker/noncaretaker scale, 96
  - causation and damages
    - before and after comparison of plaintiff, 363–366
    - consequences of injury on client's life, 152–154
    - future care costs vs. past care costs, 158
    - what cannot be fixed or helped
      - before and after comparison of plaintiff, 161–163
  - characteristics of client
    - interview with family, 33
  - closing argument
    - personal parallels drawn by jury, 245, 246
  - direct examination
    - day-in-the-life videos, 192, 193
    - driving, client, 197
    - story-based testimony, 186
  - getting along fine without money, 26
  - good works
    - Bob Woodruff family foundation, 265
    - helping Web site, 263
  - harms consultants, 106
  - harm to client, learning and experiencing, 8
  - harm upon harm, 18
  - life expectancy
    - minimum life-care plan, using, 228
  - making up for what cannot be fixed or helped
    - time lost to the injury, 31
  - online resources
    - knowing what's out there about the client or family, 38
  - pitfalls and tips for lawyers
    - first impression of client, 418
    - respect the jurors' opinions, 405
  - undermining the defense
    - anticipating defense claims, 148
  - voir dire
    - legalese, avoiding, 299
    - shut up and listen, 273
- Family as caretakers**
  - noneconomic damages, 57
- Federal criminal records, resources**
  - researching individuals, 460
- Federal safety standards**
  - voir dire
    - inoculating against defense poison, 286
- Financial information**
  - researching individuals, 456–459
- First-person story**
  - closing, 135, 136, 226, 227
  - sample, 385–389
- Fix, help, make up for**, 28–30
- Fix what can be fixed**, 28
- Focus groups**
  - closing argument
    - appropriateness of amount, 245
    - cumulation of ABC's, 221
    - first-person story, 226, 385



- undermining defense arguments, 225
- juror fears regarding reaction to the verdict, 44
- online resources
  - identifying trouble spots or overlooked information, 463
  - researching topics of the case, 451
- opening statement
  - length of opening, 176
  - template
    - part III – who is being sued and why, 346
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
  - exhibits and evidence, reaction to, 413, 414
- videos, 162
  - day-in-the-life videos, 192
- voir dire, 100, 101
- Follow-up questions**
  - voir dire, 64, 65, 85, 108, 273, 275–278, 286, 301, 318
- Freeman, Michael**
  - defense experts
    - scope of testimony, motion to limit, 477
  - malingering or exaggeration accusations, undermining, 210
  - videos shown by defense
    - judo law, turning around defense claims, 249
- Friedman, Rick**
  - Polarizing the Case*, 22, 69, 114, 154, 211, 249, 287, 477
- Frivolous case**
  - voir dire, 87
- Fundamentals**
  - after the verdict, what jurors face, 44
  - attorneys, perceptions about, 6
  - client's point of view, 7–9
  - compromise and substantiating damages requested, 46
  - defendant's bad conduct, 10–22
  - degree of harms and losses, 32
  - divine punishment, 50
  - familiarity with similar harms of others, 47
  - fears regarding reaction to the verdict, 44
  - fix, help, make up for, 28–31
  - importance of jurors, 42, 43
  - inadvertent wrongdoing, 23
  - paying for one's own problems, 49
  - proportion of time spent on damages, 4, 5
  - punishment vs. compensation, 51
  - self-protection of juror, 3
  - who gets the money, 33–41
  - worthwhile purpose of money damages, 24–27
    - obstacles to fair noneconomic damages, 53
- Future care**
  - costs, 158
  - intangibles argument in closing, 236
  - therapy, 154
- G**
- Geriatric specialists**
  - harms consultants
    - geriatric disability specialists, 106
  - medical specialists, life-care plans, 157
- Good works**
  - gold standard of selfless good works, 269
  - good works as the solution, 257, 258
  - making good works known, 268
  - potpourri of good works, 263–266
  - selfless good works
    - restoring public respect and trust, 255–269

web sites, using  
listing good works done, 268

**Government and news organization**

**web sites**

researching topics of the case  
finding authoritative web sites,  
432–438  
local government sites, 447  
popular sites jurors will visit,  
445–447

**Grief counselors**

direct examination, 188, 189

**H**

**Hall, Robert T.**, 32, 106, 188

**Harms and losses lists**, 106, 107

**Harms and losses *only* template**,

71–78

closing argument, 75–78  
importance, 71, 72  
opening statement, 74  
use throughout trial, 75  
voir dire, 72–74

**Harms consultants**, 106

**Harm to client, learning and experiencing**

client's point of view, 7–9  
degree of harms and losses, 32  
direct examination  
day-in-the-life videos, 191–194  
worthwhile uses for money, discussing, 186–188

**Harm upon harm**, 18

**Havens, Laura**, 423

**Hayes, Gary Martin**, 263

**Health care reform**

effect, juror comments, 2

**Help what can be helped**, 28–30

**High-school teachers**

direct examination, experts, 198, 199

**Hirschhorne, Robert B.**, 271, 272,  
314

**Historical societies**

researching topics of the case  
location-specific resources, 449

**Hitchhiking**

cross-examination  
defense experts, subversion of  
testimony, 206–209

**Holistic damages**

closing argument, 238

**Hollow advocacy**

direct examination, 186

**I**

**Illegal immigrant**, 145

stereotypes, 167, 169

**Immigrant**

good works  
teaching English to, 264  
illegal, 145  
stereotypes, 34, 167, 169

**Inadvertence**

attorneys  
pitfalls and tips  
coming across as inconsiderate, 407  
opening statement  
“failed to follow” language,  
avoiding, 144  
rule violations distinguished, 10, 11

**Inadvertent wrongdoing**, 23

voir dire  
language to avoid, 102, 103

**Inoculating against defense poison**

voir dire, 283–288  
creating questions, 284–287  
how/when to inoculate, 283, 284

information-gathering purpose,  
284

scope of inoculation, 287, 288

### **Insider/dispossessed scale**

voir dire, 99

### **Intangibles**

closing argument

calculating intangible amounts,  
238–242

ratio to tangible losses, 235–238

### **Intervention**

closing argument

jury instructions, massaging  
misconceptions about the law,  
234

### **Isolation and lack of mobility**

client's point of view, consequences  
of injuries, 7

## **J**

### **Jew, Rodney**

life expectancy, 156

### **Judges**

closing argument

showing time spent on noneconomic  
damages, 253

voir dire

challenges for cause  
knowledge of the judge, 313  
reminders for the judge, 302,  
303

judge-conducted questioning,  
317, 318

judge intervention, 302, 303

### **Judo law, turning around defense claims**

closing argument, 247–251

### **Juror perceptions**

after the verdict, what jurors face, 44  
anger of jurors, 18–20  
attorneys generally, 6

closing arguments, generally. See  
Closing argument

divine punishment, 50

familiarity with similar harms of  
others, 47

future medical inventions, effect, 25

getting along fine without money, 26

harms and losses only template,  
71–78

importance of jurors, 42, 43

later ability to seek more money, 27

monitoring behavior of client, 35

necessity of money sought, 25

noneconomic damages, obstacles to  
getting, 53–59

opening statement, 111–177. See

Opening statement

paying for one's own problems, 49

poisoned pool, sample juror com-  
ments, 1, 2

preponderance of the evidence stan-  
dard, 61–71

punishment vs. compensation, 51

reaction to the verdict after the fact  
by others, 44

closing argument, addressing,  
222, 223

self-protection principle, 3

sources of money, offsetting assump-  
tions of jury, 26

unclear purpose of money, 25

voir dire generally. See Voir dire

who gets the money, 33–41

### **Jurors' rights questions**

harms and losses only template

opening statement, 74

jury consultant, 310

preponderance template, 66

voir dire

harms and losses lists, 107–109

### **Jury consultant**

jurors' rights questions, 310

**Jury instructions**

- closing argument
  - massaging jury instructions, 231–235
  - negligence instructions, 225
- opening statement
  - causation and damages, 159

**Jury nullification**

- noneconomic damages, 55

**Jury research**

- focus groups. See Focus groups

**Jury selection**

- guides to jury selection, 271
- voir dire. See Voir dire

**Jury Trial Innovations**

- voir dire
  - improvements, seeking, 81

**K**

**Karakas, Nurhan**

- closing argument
  - first-person story, sample, 385–389

**Karton, Joshua**

- “being human,” 298, 408
- camera point of view, 129
- learning the harm, 9
- out-of-court behavior, 37

**Keenan, Don**

- abbreviated voir dire, 294
- client preparation, 37, 183
- Keenan’s Kids Foundation, 259, 260–263
- minimum life-care planning in closing, 227
- Reptile*, generally, 3
- too much information, 113
- two futures, 246

**Keepgeorgiasafe.org**, 263

**KISS (keeping it simple)**

- closing argument, 221, 222
- opening statement
  - story of what the defendant did, 124, 125, 320
  - length of story, 134
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
- organized presentation, 400

**Klieman, Rikki**, 36, 182

**L**

**Lack of remorse**, 21, 22

**Langer, Steve**

- opening template, 115

**Leaders**

- voir dire, 103
  - deliberations, leaders in, 291
  - identifying leaders, 304–307
    - asking about leadership experience, 304
    - attributes of leadership, 306
    - comparative quality, 307
    - job expertise, 305
    - life experiences and activities, 305
  - occupation, 304, 305
  - subtle signs, 306

**Legalese**

- closing argument, 235
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers
  - words used, 392–397
- voir dire
  - getting juror to talk, 299

**Leonard, James J.**

- closing argument
  - first-person story, 387

**Lewis, Darryl**

- differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case
- sample opening statement, 375–384

**Libraries**

- researching topics of the case
  - location-specific resources, 448, 449

**Licenses and other credentials**

- researching individuals online, 464, 465

**Life-care planner**, 25, 155–157, 190, 191, 228, 247, 358**Life-care plans**

- compromise kills, 46
- future medical inventions, 25
- minimum life-care plans
  - closing argument, 227–231
  - direct examination, 189–191
  - opening statement
    - causation and damages, 154, 155
  - geriatric medical specialists, 157

**Life expectancy**

- closing argument
  - minimum life-care plan, using, 228–230
  - senior citizens, 230, 231
- cross-examination
  - undermining life-expectancy estimates by defense, 209, 210
- opening statement
  - combating life expectancy arguments, 155, 156

**Litigation syndrome**

- cross-examination, 211, 212

**Loss of consortium**, 57

- opening statement, 173

**M****Malekpour, Artemis**, 101, 167, 221, 245, 391, 423**Malingering**

- attack of client by defense, 22
- closing argument
  - judo law, turning around defense claims, 247–251
- cross-examination
  - undermining accusations of malingering, 210, 211
- defense experts, limiting testimony
  - case study, 477–500
- opening statement
  - causation and damages, 154
- voir dire
  - inoculating against defense poison, 285

**Malone, Patrick**, 10, 202, 262**Malpractice**

- opening statement
  - differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case, 375–384

**Manufacturer's web sites**

- researching topics of the case
  - finding authoritative web sites, 440, 441

**Massaging jury instructions**, 225, 235**McDonald's coffee case**

- tort reform in voir dire, 87

**Mediation**, 194**Medical consent forms**

- voir dire
  - inoculating against defense poison, 286

**Medical malpractice**

- opening statement
  - differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case, 375–384

**Medical resources online**, 471, 472

authoritative web sites, finding,  
432–442

**Military experience**

researching individuals, 460, 461

**Miller, Debra**, 101, 167, 221, 245

**Minimum life-care plans**

closing argument, 227–231  
direct examination, 189–191  
opening statement  
causation and damages, 154, 155  
geriatric medical specialists, 157

**Mini-opening**

voir dire, 99, 309

**Mock trial**

fundamentals  
paying for one's own problems,  
49  
opening statement  
stereotypes  
beware of the unknown, 167  
pitfalls and tips for lawyers  
mock trial deliberation, 391

**“More likely right than wrong”**

preponderance template, 63

**Motion to limit expert testimony**

case study, 477–500

**Motives**

anger of jurors, 18, 19  
attorneys, 412  
experts, 199  
opening statement, 172, 173

**Multiple survivors seeking damages**

voir dire, 105, 106

**Museums**

researching topics of the case  
location-specific resources, 449

**N**

**National Jury Project**, 24, 31, 34,

44, 84, 99, 114, 168, 171,  
220, 271, 301, 329

**Needless danger**, 12–17

**Negligence**

closing argument  
admission of some fault, 242,  
243  
apportionment of fault, 243, 244  
jury instructions, 225  
defenses  
opening statement  
template outline, 117  
undermining the defense, 152  
damages-only cases, 166  
defining as outrageous, 14–16  
opening statement  
undermining negligence defenses,  
145–148, 347–349  
sample opening, 373, 374  
stipulations, 21

**Noneconomic damages**

calculating, 53, 54  
voir dire, 91, 92  
closing argument  
judge, showing time spent on  
noneconomic damages,  
253  
comparing to economic damages,  
55, 56  
consortium, loss of, 57  
defining compensation, 54  
family as caretakers, 56, 57  
improper defense arguments, 55  
jury nullification, 55  
obstacles generally, 53–59  
services, loss of, 58  
too much money for an individual,  
58, 59  
closing argument, 254

voir dire, 87–92  
worthwhileness, 53

### Notes

arming jurors, 219  
a way to take notes, 429  
closing, 216  
opening statement, 177

### Nullification

jury  
  noneconomic damages, 55  
  withholding for what cannot be fixed  
  or helped, 30

## O

### Offer of proof

voir dire, 81, 82

### Oklahoma, 261, 262, 267

### Omitting compensation

closing argument  
  jury instructions, massaging  
  misconceptions about the law,  
  234, 235

### Online resources

case study  
  researching individuals, 451–471  
  researching topics of the case,  
  430–451  
knowing what's out there about  
  the client or case, 38, 39,  
  423–425  
medical sources, 471, 472  
  authoritative web sites, finding,  
  432–442  
pitfalls and tips for lawyers  
  finding what the audience may  
  find, 409, 410  
  know the audience, 408, 409  
process for doing research, 428–475  
  case study  
  researching individuals,  
  451–471  
  researching topics, 430–451

  note-taking, 429, 430  
  search engines, 429  
  tools needed, 428, 429  
pyramid effect, 472, 473  
researching individuals, 451–471  
  attorney work on previous cases,  
  468, 469  
  broad search on individual's  
  name, 454–456  
  criminal records searches, 459,  
  460  
  feedback from patients, students,  
  etc., 465  
  financial information searches,  
  456–459  
  licenses and other credentials,  
  464, 465  
  list individuals critical to the case,  
  452  
  military experience, 460, 461  
  personal or business web sites,  
  452–454  
  political affiliation or contribu-  
  tions, 460, 461  
  publications, 466–468  
  religious information, 463  
  separate task from research of  
  topics, 451  
  social networking sites, 461, 462  
  watch for bias or conflicts of  
  interest, 456  
  watch for others with the same  
  name, 455, 456  
researching topics of the case,  
  430–451  
  authoritative web sites, finding,  
  432–442  
  broad searches for each item  
  listed, 442–444  
  focus groups, using to find other  
  resources, 451  
  list every relevant topic or item,  
  430–432

- location-specific resources, 447–451
  - narrowing the broader search, 444, 445
  - popular sites jurors will visit, 445–447
  - who is providing the information found online, 443, 444
  - restoring public respect and trust
    - help centers, web sites as, 262, 263
    - listing good works done on the web, 268
  - time needed to perform adequate research, 428
  - types of information that are important, 425
  - what should be researched, 425, 426
  - when to research, 426, 427
  - who should do the research, 427
  - why research matters, 425
- Open-ended questions**  
voir dire, 84
- Opening statement**  
adornment of attorney, 175  
advocacy
  - premature advocacy, 112, 121, 127
  - who is being sued and why, 138–145, 341–347
    - sample, 372, 373- attention of the jury, holding, 169–171
- before and after comparison of plaintiff, 161–163
- beware of the unknown, 166–169
- bullet points, avoiding, 174
- causation and damages, 149–161, 349–363
  - accusations of malingering or exaggeration by client, 154
  - before and after comparison of plaintiff, 363–366
    - what cannot be fixed or helped, 160
- consequences of injury on client's life, 149, 152–154, 355–360
- damages-only cases, 164–166
- fixes and helps, 149, 154–158
  - what cannot be fixed or helped, 158–161
  - what can the jury do?, 367–369
- future care costs vs. past care costs, 158
- geriatric medical specialists, 157
- introducing harms and losses, 149, 150
- life expectancy arguments, combating, 155, 156
- mechanism of harm, 149–151, 351–355
- minimum life-care plan, 154, 155
- sample opening, 374
- undermining a damages issue, 361–363
- what cannot be fixed or helped, 149, 158–161
  - before and after comparison of plaintiff, 160, 161–163, 363–366
  - interim deprivation, 159, 160
  - jury instructions, 159
  - preexisting problems, 160
  - what can the jury do?, 367–369
  - who gets the money, 158
- clarity of speaking, 114
- credibility of attorney with jury, 112, 113
- damages jury should award, 163, 164
- damages-only cases, 164–166
  - primary rules, 164
  - story of what defendant did, 165
  - undermining the defense, 166



- who is being sued and why, 165
- differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case, 375–384
- dress to relate to jury, 175
- enunciation, importance, 173, 174
- experts, referring to, 122
- eye contact, 176
- fear of lack of understanding by jury, 171, 172
- harms and losses only template, 74
- introduction, 111
- keeping it simple (KISS), 124, 125, 320
  - length of story, 134
- length of opening, 175, 176
- lighting in the courtroom, 177
- loss of consortium, 173
- motivations of defendant, 172, 173
- movement by attorney, 176
- negligence defenses, undermining, 145–148, 347–349
  - sample opening, 373, 374
- notes, use of, 177
- omissions by defendant, mentioning, 113
- pauses, importance of, 120
- pollution, avoiding, 123
- power of storytelling, 319, 320
- premature advocacy, 112, 121, 127
- preponderance template, 67, 68
- rehearsing, 176, 177
- rules, starting with, 119–124, 332–334
  - damages-only cases, 164
  - sample opening, 137, 138, 371
  - who is being sued and why –
    - rules that were violated, 138–145, 341–347
    - sample opening, 372, 373
- sample opening following the template, 371–374
  - differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case, 375–384
- seat belts
  - beware of the unknown, 166, 167
- self-image of client, loss of, 166
- stereotypes
  - beware of the unknown, 167–169
- story of what the defendant did, 319–328
  - actions in place first, 321
  - actions only, 322, 323
  - active vs. passive voice, 126
  - chronological sequence, 124, 321
  - damages-only cases, 165
  - ending the story, 134, 135
  - first person, avoiding, 135, 136
  - importance of each action, 323–325
  - importance of each sentence, 134
  - length of story, 134
  - mentioning the client, limitations, 130–133
  - motive, omitting, 128
  - moving story forward in time, 126, 323
  - name of defendant, starting sentences with, 125
  - next occurrence immediately after the harm or loss, 135
  - omissions recommended, 127, 128
  - one fact per sentence, 126, 320
  - point of view, keeping objective, 128–130
  - premature advocacy, 127
  - present tense, using, 125, 320, 321
  - pronouns, use of, 133
  - sample opening, 137, 138, 371, 372
  - selection of events, 325–327
  - sensory input within sentences, 126

- setting the scene, 133
- simple, not compound, sentences, 125, 323
- simultaneous events by multiple defendants, 125
- start of the story, 134, 325–327
- subordinating the client, 130–133
- template, 335–340
- time sequences, stating, 125
- too much information, 126, 127
  - “would not have happened to me,” 327, 328
- structure, importance of, 113
- succinctness, 170, 171
- template
  - guided template, 329–374
  - outline, 117
  - part I – rules, starting with, 119–124, 332–334
    - sample, 371
  - part II – story of what the defendant did, 124–136, 335–340
    - sample, 371, 372
  - part III – who is being sued and why, 138–145, 341–347
    - sample opening, 372, 373
  - part IV – undermining the defense, 145–148, 347–349
    - sample opening, 373, 374
  - part V – causation and damages, 149–161, 349–363
    - sample opening, 374
  - part VI – before and after
    - comparison of plaintiff, 161–163
  - part VII – what jury should do, 163, 164
  - sample opening using template, 371–374
  - why use it, 114, 115
  - too much information, avoiding, 113, 114
    - story of what the defendant did, 126, 127
  - undermining the defense, 145–148, 347–349
    - anticipating defense claims, 148
    - consequences of injury on client’s life, 152–154, 355–360
    - damages issue, 361–363
    - damages-only cases, 166
    - how to undermine, 146, 147
    - negligence, 145, 146
    - sample opening, 373, 374
  - unknown, beware of, 166–169
  - who is being sued and why, 138–145, 341–347
    - damages-only cases, 165
    - experts, citing, 144, 145
    - rule that was violated, 139
      - “failed to follow” language, avoiding, 144
      - how other course of action would have helped, 140
      - how violation caused harm specifically, 140
      - what defendant should have done, 140
      - why violation of the rule is dangerous, 139, 140
    - sample opening, 141–144, 372, 373

**Optimist/pessimist scale**

voir dire, 96

**Ordinary care**

defined, 15  
needlessly endangers, 13, 233, 375

**P**

**Pain and suffering**

direct examination  
pain counselors, 188, 189

- voir dire
  - pain tolerance, 93
- Paradigm**
  - closing argument
    - arming favorable jurors, 218
  - opening statement
    - differential diagnosis in medical malpractice case, 383
    - why we're suing, 138, 139
- Parris, Rex**
  - consortium claims, 57
  - no economic damages claim, 238
- Persistence**
  - online resources
    - pitfalls and tips for lawyers
      - know the audience, 409
  - voir dire, 82, 83
- Personal image**
  - loss of, 166
- Personality traits**
  - voir dire, 95
- Pitfalls and tips for lawyers,**
  - 391–421
  - anticipating problems, 421
  - client interaction, 417–419
  - delivery of words used, 397, 398
  - drama in the courtroom, 412–414
  - finding what the audience may find, 409, 410
  - first impression of client, 417, 418
  - follow where the audience goes, 411, 412
  - input from client, 418
  - know the audience, 408, 409
  - mock trial deliberation, 391
  - organized presentation, 398–400
  - pay attention to client, 419
  - plain English, 392–397
  - reality check, 420, 421
  - respect the jurors' opinions, 404–408
  - setting the mood, 419, 420
  - technological and visual aids, 414–417
  - too much information, 400–402
  - too much repetition, 402, 403
  - words used, 392–397
- Plain English**
  - closing argument, 221
  - legalese, avoiding, 235
  - pitfalls and tips for lawyers
    - words used, 392–397
  - voir dire
    - getting juror to talk, 299
- Poison**
  - juror comments, 1, 2
  - restoring public respect and trust, 255–269. *See* Restoring public respect and trust
  - voir dire, 280
    - inoculating against defense poison, 283–288
      - creating questions, 284–287
      - how/when to inoculate, 283, 284
      - information-gathering purpose, 284
      - scope of inoculation, 287, 288
    - poisoning jurors, 280
      - anticipating defense poison questions, 280–284
      - inoculating against, 283–288
      - noneconomic damages, spotting problem jurors, 91
- Polarizing the Case***, 22, 69, 114, 154, 211, 249, 287, 477
- Political affiliation or contributions**
  - researching individuals, 460, 461
- Premature advocacy**
  - opening statement, 112, 121, 127
- Preponderance template**, 61–71

- avoiding use in situation of dangerous affirmative defense, 62
  - closing argument, 69–71
  - defense testimony, 69
  - formula for use, 63
  - hand position, 63
  - importance, 61
  - jurors' rights questions, 66, 67
  - opening statement, 67, 68
  - plaintiff's testimony, 68, 69
  - teaching the law
    - closing argument, 70
  - use throughout trial, 62
  - voir dire, 64–67
- Present tense**, 125, 320, 321
- Primacy**
- closing argument
    - first words spoken, 223
  - direct examination
    - cross-proof witness, 181
  - opening statement
    - primacy of belief, 112, 133, 135
  - voir dire, 82, 83
    - defense poison questions, 282
- Products liability**, 16
- Professional associations and organizations**
- researching topics of the case
    - finding authoritative web sites, 439, 440
- Pronouns**
- closing
    - nouns v. pronouns, 251
  - opening statement
    - story of what the defendant did, 133
- Proportion of damages**
- closing argument
    - damages circle, 244
- Public respect and trust, restoring**, 255–269. *See* **Restoring public respect and trust**
- Pyramid effect**
- online searching, 472, 473
- Q**
- Quiet jurors**
- voir dire, 275, 293
- R**
- Race of juror**
- voir dire
    - demographics affecting damages, 94, 95
- Reasonable care**, 15, 233
- Reduction of verdict**
- juror perceptions
    - later ability to seek more money, 27
- Refusal to accept responsibility**, 17, 18
- closing argument, 20
  - lack of remorse, 21, 22
- Rehabilitation**
- fix, help, make up for, 29
  - juror perceptions
    - fighting spirit, emphasizing don't quit rehabilitation, 40
  - life-care planners
    - video of rehabilitation center, 190
  - researching topics of the case
    - rehabilitation center for closed-head injury and brain damage, 450
  - sham rehabilitation, 80
  - therapists as jurors, 48
  - voir dire
    - challenges for cause
      - knowledge of the judge, 313
    - closed-ended questions
      - challenges for cause, 315
    - limitations, 80

**Rehearse**

- opening statement, 176
- pretrial, 315, 316

**Rejecting cases, 266****Religion**

- juror demographics, 94
  - researching individuals
- finding religious information, 463
- voir dire, 102, 279

**Remorse**

- false, 22
- lack of, 21

**Repetition**

- pitfalls and tips for lawyers, 402, 403

**Reptile**

- client preparation, 37
- community-safety approach, 30
- compromise kills, power of the low-balling juror, 46
- defendant walking away free, costs of, 49
- defense experts, limiting testimony, 477
- defining negligence as outrageous, 15
- direct examination
  - client/witness preparation, 183
  - experts, using, 202
  - how rule-breaking is dangerous, 185
- divine punishment, 50
- foreword to third edition, xxix, xxx
- inadvertence, 103
- introduction, companion volumes, xxxix
- isolation and mobility, 7
- juror self-protection, 3
- minimization of money verdict, 28
- negative stereotypes, 34
- opening statement
  - damages-only cases, 164
  - interim deprivation, 160

- malingering or exaggeration,
  - fighting, 154
- rule violations, 121
- subordination of client, 131
- why we're suing, 139

- other sources of money, 26
- "outsider" jurors, 100
- religion, effect on jurors, 102
- small cases, 42
- stipulated negligence, 21
- tort-reformed jurors, 87
- voir dire
  - legalese, avoiding, 299
  - worthwhile purpose for money, 59

**Respect, 255–269. See Restoring public respect and trust****Restoring public respect and trust, 255–269**

- bar associations, using, 267, 268
- Connecticut 2006 example, 262
- does it work?, 259, 260
- doing stuff vs. buying stuff, 263
- do what is nearest, 261
- epilogue, 269
- foolproof solution, 257, 258
- gold standard of selfless good works, 269
- good works as the solution, 257, 258
- help centers, web sites as, 262, 263
- making good works known, 268
- Midwest example, 262
- Oklahoma 2006 example, 261
- organizational resources, 267, 268
- potpourri of good works, 263–266
- public service foundation example, 260
- rejecting cases the right way, 266, 267
- scope of the problem, 255–257
- specific examples, 260–266
- trial lawyer organizations, using, 267, 268
- turning down clients, 266, 267

web sites, using, 262, 263  
listing good works done, 268

**Rules of the Road**, 10, 11, 103, 114,  
121, 139, 202, 431

**Rule violations**

closing argument  
who is being sued and why, 224  
cross-examination, 205, 206  
defendant's bad conduct, 10–14  
opening statement  
starting with the rules, 119–124,  
332–334  
sample opening, 137, 138,  
371  
who is being sued and why –  
rules that were violated,  
138–145, 341–347  
sample opening, 372, 373  
voir dire  
inoculating against defense poi-  
son, 286

**Ryan, Mary**, 300

**S**

**“Safe enough”**

needless danger, 13, 14

**Safety rule violations**

closing argument  
who is being sued and why, 224  
cross-examination, 205, 206  
defendant's bad conduct, 10–14  
opening statement  
starting with the rules, 119–124,  
332–334  
sample opening, 137, 138,  
371  
who is being sued and why –  
rules that were violated,  
138–145, 341–347  
sample opening, 372, 373

voir dire  
inoculating against defense poi-  
son, 286

**Sample closing**

first-person story, 385–389

**Sample opening statement**

differential diagnosis in medical mal-  
practice case, 375–384  
entire template, using, 371–374  
part III of template, 141–144  
parts I and II of template, 137, 138

**Scales**

closing argument  
calculating intangible amounts,  
237–242  
disability, 239  
harms and losses only template,  
75  
lesser harm, 242  
pain scale, 239–241  
wrongful death, 241  
pitfalls and tips for lawyers  
too much repetition, 403  
researching topics of the case  
popular sites jurors will visit, 445  
voir dire  
caretaker/noncaretaker scale,  
96–98  
content/malcontent scale, 100  
control/not in control scale, 101  
depth of beliefs, 307, 308  
emotion/nonemotion scale, 98,  
99  
insider/dispossessed scale, 99  
optimist/pessimist scale, 96  
ship captain/landlubber-passen-  
ger scale, 101

**Seat belts**

opening statement  
beware of the unknown, 166,  
167

**Self-image of client, loss of**

opening statement, 166

**Selfless good works**

restoring public respect and trust,  
255–269. *See* Restoring  
public respect and trust

**Self-protection of juror, 3****Settlement**

conferences, 194  
decisions, 409

**Ship captain/landlubber-passenger  
scale**

voir dire, 101

**Sims, Dorothy**, 22, 69, 154, 210,  
249, 477

**Social networking sites**

researching individuals, 461, 462  
researching topics of the case  
popular sites jurors will visit, 447

**“Some people” questions**

voir dire  
getting juror to talk, 297

**Sources of money**

assumptions of jury, offsetting, 26

**Spence, Gerry**

closing argument  
first-person story, 387  
pitfalls and tips for lawyers  
know the audience, 408  
respect the jurors’ opinions, 405,  
406  
trial lawyer’s college  
first-person storytelling, 136, 226  
walking in client’s shoes, 9  
voir dire  
getting juror to talk  
do’s and don’ts, 298  
honoring the answers, 295

**Spouses**

direct examination, 184

**Standard of proof**

preponderance template, 61–71

**Stereotypes**

attorneys  
juror perceptions about, 6, 175,  
408  
opening statement  
beware of the unknown, 167–  
169  
who gets the money, 33, 34

**Stipulated negligence, 10, 21****Stipulation, last-minute**

opening statement, 165, 169

**Storytelling**

closing argument, 225–227  
first-person story, sample,  
385–389  
direct examination  
story-based testimony, 185, 186  
opening statement  
story of what the defendant did,  
319–328  
actions in place first, 321  
actions only, 322, 323  
active vs. passive voice, 126  
chronological sequence, 124, 321  
damages-only cases, 165  
ending the story, 134, 135  
first person, avoiding, 135, 136  
importance of each action,  
323–325  
importance of each sentence, 134  
length of story, 134  
mentioning the client, limita-  
tions, 130–133  
motive, omitting, 128  
moving story forward in time,  
126, 323  
name of defendant, starting sen-  
tences with, 125  
next occurrence immediately  
after the harm or loss,  
135

- omissions recommended, 127, 128
- one fact per sentence, 126, 320
- point of view, keeping objective, 128–130
- premature advocacy, 127
- present tense, using, 125, 320, 321
- pronouns, use of, 133
- sample opening, 137, 138, 371, 372
- selection of events, 325–327
- sensory input within sentences, 126
- setting the scene, 133
- simple, not compound, sentences, 125, 323
- simultaneous events by multiple defendants, 125
- start of the story, 134, 325–327
- subordinating the client, 130–133
- template, 335–340
- time sequences, stating, 125
- too much information, 126, 127
- “would not have happened to me,” 327, 328

**Substantiating damages requested,** 46

**Sunwolf, Dr.,** 66, 226, 310

**Surveillance by defense**

- closing argument
- judo law, turning around defense claims, 249–251

**T**

**Tecala, Mila,** 32, 106, 188

**Teitel, Ernie,** 7, 8, 59, 99, 104, 112, 152, 188, 194

**Templates**

- harms and losses only template, 71–78

- opening statement. *See* Opening statement
- preponderance template, 61–71

**Tentacles of danger**

- opening statement, 343, 345, 358

***Theater Tips & Strategies,*** 18, 37, 124, 176, 350, 416

***The Jury Expert***

- demographics of religion, using, 279

**Time management**

- proportion of time spent on damages, 4, 5

**TMI (too much information)**

- direct examination
  - standard for scope of examination, 179–181
- opening statement
  - avoiding TMI, 113, 114
  - story of what the defendant did, 126, 127
- pitfalls and tips for lawyers, 400–402

**Tort reform**

- effect, juror comments, 2
- harms and losses only template
  - importance, 72
- juror self-protection, 3
- noneconomic damages
  - nullification pleas, 55
- opening statement
  - story of what the defendant did
    - first person, avoiding, 135
  - subordination of client, 130
- preponderance template
  - voir dire, 72
- restoring public respect and trust, 255–269. *See* Restoring public respect and trust
- voir dire, 85–87
  - why questions are being asked, explaining, 288



**Trial consultant**, 219

- American Society of Trial Consultants, 279
- jurors' rights questions, 66

**Trial lawyers college**, 136, 226, 387, 408**Two futures**, 246, 247

## U

**Umbrella rule**, 12–17, 332**Undermining defense arguments**

- closing argument, 224, 225
  - taking money from a good cause, 254
- cross-examination
  - life expectancy estimates by defense, 209, 210
  - malingering or exaggeration accusations, 210, 211
- opening statement, 145–148, 347–349
  - anticipating defense claims, 148
  - consequences of injury on client's life, 152–154, 355–360
  - damages issue, 361–363
  - damages-only cases, 166
  - how to undermine, 146, 147
  - negligence, 145, 146
  - sample opening, 373, 374

## V

**Video(s)**

- camera eyes and ears, 128, 129
- day-in-the-life videos, 191–194
- direct exam, 180
- mediation, 194
- settlement video, 162
- shown by defense, 249–251

**Vignettes**

- characteristics of client juror perceptions, 33

## opening statement

- before and after comparison of plaintiff, 162, 163
- causation and damages
  - consequences of injury on client's life, 358
  - what cannot be fixed or helped
    - before and after comparison of plaintiff, 363–366
- jury instructions, 159
- stereotypes
  - beware of the unknown, 169

**Visual aids**

- closing argument
  - videos shown by defense, 249–251
- day-in-the-life videos
  - direct examination, 191–194
  - pitfalls and tips for lawyers, 414–417

**Voir dire**

- attitude of juror
  - tort reform issues, 86
- caps on damages, asking about, 93, 94
- caretakers and noncaretakers, 96–98
- challenges for cause, 312–315
  - closed-ended questions to finish, 314, 315
  - knowledge of the judge, 313
  - knowledge of the law, 312
  - open-ended questions to start, 313, 314
    - reminders for the judge, 302, 303
- child witnesses, 104, 105
- closed-ended questions, limited uses, 274, 275
- complete answers, 289
- conditioning, 302
- contents and malcontents, 100
- defense attorney as role model for advocacy, 279
- defense poison questions, 280–283

- definition, 301
- demeanor of juror
  - noneconomic damages, spotting
    - problem jurors, 90
- demographics affecting damages, 94, 95, 278, 279
- depth of beliefs, 307, 308
- emotions, 98, 99
- enjoying voir dire, 301
- explaining why questions are being asked, 288, 289
- final six questions to ask, 309–312
- first impressions, 82, 83
- focus groups, 100, 101
- following up on an answer, 85
  - all-purpose follow-up questions, 275–278
  - judge-conducted questioning, 318
- frivolous case questions, 87
- getting juror to talk, 290–300
  - do's and don'ts, 298, 299
  - legalese, avoiding, 299
  - lowering the barriers, 295–297
  - non-talking jurors, 299, 300
  - practice, 300
  - sample questions, 293, 294
  - “some people” lead-ins, 297, 298
  - work history, 294
- harms and losses lists, 107–109
- harms and losses only template, 72–74
- harms consultants, 106
- home – asking about where juror lives, 289
- how to ask questions, 83–85
- identifying leaders, 304–307
  - asking about leadership experience, 304
  - attributes of leadership, 306
  - comparative quality, 307
  - job expertise, 305
  - life experiences and activities, 305
  - occupation, 304, 305
  - subtle signs, 306
- improvements, seeking, 81
- improvements to the process, asking for, 272, 273
- inadvertence, language to avoid, 103
- informing or persuading, 302
- initial overview of case, reaction of juror, 99
- inoculating against defense poison, 283–288
  - creating questions, 284–287
  - how/when to inoculate, 283, 284
  - information-gathering purpose, 284
  - scope of inoculation, 287, 288
- insider/dispossessed scale, 99, 100
- interrupting the juror, 289
- judge-conducted questioning, 317, 318
- judge intervention, 302, 303
- jurors' rights questions, 310–312
- last six questions to ask, 309–312
- law of voir dire and improvements to process, 272, 273
- leaders, 103, 104, 304–307
- limitations or restrictions, 79–82
  - bias, 79
  - fight against limitations, 79
  - fight for voir dire, 79
  - offer of proof, 81, 82
  - persistence, 82
  - placing voir dire on the record, 79
  - primacy, 82
  - seeking improvements, 81
  - sham rehabilitation, 80
  - time limit, 79
- listening with interest, 302
- McDonald's coffee case, 87
- multiple survivors seeking damages, 105, 106
- noneconomic damages, 87–92

noneconomic elements besides monetary damages, 92, 93  
 offer of proof, requesting, 81, 82  
 open-ended questions, 84, 273–275  
 optimists and pessimists, 96  
 paid testimony, 105  
 pain tolerance, 93  
 peremptory vs. for-cause challenges  
   reminders for the judge, 302, 303  
 persistence, 82, 83  
 personality traits, 95, 96  
 poisoning jurors, 280  
   anticipating defense poison questions, 280–284  
   inoculating against, 283–288  
   noneconomic damages, spotting  
   problem jurors, 91  
 practice  
   pretrial rehearsal, 315, 316  
 preponderance template, 64–67  
 pretrial rehearsal, 315, 316  
 primacy, 82  
 promises from jurors, 308  
 rapport, creating, 302  
 rehabilitation of juror, 80, 81  
 religion as basis for striking juror, 279  
 religious jurors, 102  
 rewording juror's answer, 290  
 shallow opinions capable of being dislodged, 307, 308  
 ship captains or landlubbers, 101, 102  
 shut up and listen, 273  
 specific figures, including in questioning, 93  
 tort reform issues, 85–87  
 whole answer, getting, 289  
 why questions are being asked, explaining, 288, 289  
 work history, 294

## W

### **Walking in client's shoes, 7–9**

## **Web/Internet resources**

case study  
   researching individuals, 451–471  
   researching topics of the case, 430–451  
 knowing what's out there about  
   the client or case, 38, 39, 423–425  
 medical sources, 471, 472  
   authoritative web sites, finding, 432–442  
 pitfalls and tips for lawyers  
   finding what the audience may find, 409, 410  
   know the audience, 408, 409  
 process for doing research, 428–475  
   case study  
     researching individuals, 451–471  
     researching topics, 430–451  
   note-taking, 429, 430  
   search engines, 429  
   tools needed, 428, 429  
 pyramid effect, 472, 473  
 researching individuals, 451–471  
   attorney work on previous cases, 468, 469  
   broad search on individual's name, 454–456  
   criminal records searches, 459, 460  
   feedback from patients, students, etc., 465  
   financial information searches, 456–459  
   licenses and other credentials, 464, 465  
   list individuals critical to the case, 452  
   military experience, 460, 461  
   personal or business web sites, 452–454  
   political affiliation or contributions, 460, 461

- publications, 466–468
  - religious information, 463
  - separate task from research of topics, 451
  - social networking sites, 461, 462
  - watch for bias or conflicts of interest, 456
  - watch for others with the same name, 455, 456
  - researching topics of the case, 430–451
    - authoritative web sites, finding, 432–442
    - broad searches for each item listed, 442–444
    - focus groups, using to find other resources, 451
    - list every relevant topic or item, 430–432
    - location-specific resources, 447–451
    - narrowing the broader search, 444, 445
    - popular sites jurors will visit, 445–447
    - who is providing the information found online, 443, 444
  - restoring public respect and trust help centers, web sites as, 262, 263
    - listing good works done on the web, 268
  - time needed to perform adequate research, 428
  - types of information that are important, 425
  - what should be researched, 425, 426
  - when to research, 426, 427
  - who should do the research, 427
  - why research matters, 425
- Welfare mom**  
stereotypes, 167
- Who gets the money**, 33–41
- Wikipedia**  
researching topics of the case
  - popular sites jurors will visit, 446, 447
- Wiley, Diane**  
National Jury Project
  - clarity of opening, 114
  - enjoyment of voir dire, 301
  - open-ended questions for voir dire, 84
  - opening, guided template, 329
- Winning the Unwinnable Case**, 248
- Witnesses**  
child witnesses
  - direct examination, 188
  - voir dire, 104, 105controlling the witness
  - cross-examination, 213, 214
  - direct examination, 182, 183cross examination generally, 205–214. *See* Cross-examination  
direct examination generally, 179–203. *See* Direct examination  
order
  - opening statement, 145*Reptile*
  - client/witness preparation for direct exam, 183
- World-wide web, online resources**  
case study
  - researching individuals, 451–471
  - researching topics of the case, 430–451knowing what’s out there about the client or case, 38, 39, 423–425  
medical sources, 471, 472
  - authoritative web sites, finding, 432–442pitfalls and tips for lawyers
  - finding what the audience may find, 409, 410
  - know the audience, 408, 409

- process for doing research, 428–475
    - case study
      - researching individuals, 451–471
      - researching topics, 430–451
    - note-taking, 429, 430
    - search engines, 429
    - tools needed, 428, 429
  - pyramid effect, 472, 473
  - researching individuals, 451–471
    - attorney work on previous cases, 468, 469
    - broad search on individual's name, 454–456
    - criminal records searches, 459, 460
    - feedback from patients, students, etc., 465
    - financial information searches, 456–459
    - licenses and other credentials, 464, 465
    - list individuals critical to the case, 452
    - military experience, 460, 461
    - personal or business web sites, 452–454
    - political affiliation or contributions, 460, 461
    - publications, 466–468
    - religious information, 463
    - separate task from research of topics, 451
    - social networking sites, 461, 462
    - watch for bias or conflicts of interest, 456
    - watch for others with the same name, 455, 456
  - researching topics of the case, 430–451
    - authoritative web sites, finding, 432–442
    - broad searches for each item listed, 442–444
    - focus groups, using to find other resources, 451
    - list every relevant topic or item, 430–432
    - location-specific resources, 447–451
    - narrowing the broader search, 444, 445
    - popular sites jurors will visit, 445–447
    - who is providing the information found online, 443, 444
  - restoring public respect and trust
    - help centers, web sites as, 262, 263
    - listing good works done on the web, 268
  - time needed to perform adequate research, 428
  - types of information that are important, 425
  - what should be researched, 425, 426
  - when to research, 426, 427
  - who should do the research, 427
  - why research matters, 425
- Worthwhileness**
- noneconomic damages, 53
  - purpose of money damages, 24–27
  - uses for money, 186–188
- Wrongful death**
- closing argument
    - calculation of intangibles, 241, 242
  - opening statement
    - mechanism of harm, 151
- Y**
- YouTube**
- researching individuals, 461, 462
  - researching topics of the case
    - popular sites jurors will visit, 446

**Z**

**Zauzig, Chuck**

- juror's rights questions, 66
- make jurors listen, 217