

My cousin Harriet Meiss inspired the idea of this Directory years ago with her guide for authors of medical research. Jennifer Evans, Lillian K. Nash, Amy Felder, Sam Morris, Sara M. Falkinham, and Carmen Shindala have provided valuable research assistance. I thank the National Conference of Law Reviews for its cooperation.

Books

Eugene Volokh, *ACADEMIC LEGAL WRITING: LAW REVIEW ARTICLES, STUDENT NOTES, AND SEMINAR PAPERS* (2003)

Articles About Law Reviews and Scholarly Legal Periodicals

Kathryn Feldman, *Remarks About the Value of Student-Run Law Journals*, 17 WINDSOR REV. LEGAL & SOC. ISSUES 1 (2004)

Felice Batlan, *A Journal of One's Own?: Beginning the Project of Historicizing the Development of Women's Law Journals*, 12 COLUM J. GENDER & L. 430 (2003)

Michael Tilbury, *Why Law Reviews?*, 25 SYDNEY L. REV. 21 (2003)

Frank Cross, Michael Heise & Gregory C. Sisk, *Empirical Research and the Goals of Legal Scholarship: Above the Rules*, 69 U. CHI. L. REV. 135 (2002)

Lee Epstein & Gary King, *Empirical Research and the Goals of Legal Scholarship: The Rules of Inference*, 69 U. CHI. L. REV. 1 (2002)

Jack Goldsmith & Adrian Vermeule, *Empirical Research and the Goals of Legal Scholarship: Empirical Methodology and Legal Scholarship*, 69 U. CHI. L. REV. 153 (2002)

M.H. Hoeflich, *The Origins of the Kansas Law Review*, 50 KAN. L. REV. 375 (2002)

Michael Kirby, *Foreword: Welcome to Law Reviews*, 26 MELB. U. L. REV. 1 (2002)

Susan P. Liemer, *The Quest for Scholarship: The Legal Writing Professor's Paradox*, 80 OR. L. REV. 1007 (2002)

Bradley J. Martineau, *The Future of Law Reviews and Legal Journals from a Student Editor's Perspective*, 2 PITT. J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 1 (2002)

Richard Revesz, *Empirical Research and the Goals of Legal Scholarship: A Defense of Empirical Legal Scholarship*, 69 U. CHI. L. REV. 153 (2002)

Aside: Challenging Law Review Dominance, 149 U. PA. L. REV. 1601 (2001)

Donna Greschner, *The Canadian Law Review Experience: Law as Cultural Narrative*, 39 ALBERTA L. REV. 616 (2001)

Roderick A. Macdonald, *The Canadian Law Review Experience: Epistles to Apostles*, 39 ALBERTA L. REV. 668 (2001)

Frances Olsen, *The Role of Student-Run Journals in Opening North American Law*, 39 ALBERTA L. REV. 678 (2001)

Joseph P. Tomain & Paul L. Caron, *The Associate Dean for Faculty Research Position: Encouraging and Promoting Scholarship*, 33 U. TOL. L. REV. 233 (2001)

Eric A. Chiappinelli, *Definite Articles: Using the Law Review Article Type Indicator to Make Law Review Publishing Decisions*, 42 WM. & MARY L. REV. 559 (2000)

Jeffrey L. Dunoff, *What's Wrong with International Law Scholarship?: International Legal Scholarship at the Millennium*, 1 CHI. J. INT'L L. 85 (2000)

Kevin Hopkins, *People of Color Speak: Cultivating Our Emerging Voices: The Road to Scholarship*, 20 B.C. THIRD WORLD L.J. 77 (2000)

William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, *Citations, Age, Fame, and the Web*, 29 J. LEGAL STUD. 319 (2000)

Brian Leiter, *Measuring the Academic Distinction of Law Faculties*, 29 J. LEGAL STUD. 451 (2000)

- Christian C. Day, *In Search of the Read Footnote: Techniques for Writing Legal Scholarship and Having it Published*, 6 LEGAL WRITING 229 (2000)
- Deborah Jones Merritt, *Scholarly Influence in a Diverse Legal Academy: Race, Sex, and Citation Counts*, 29 J. LEGAL STUD. 345 (2000)
- Lyonette Louis-Jacques, *What's Wrong with International Law Scholarship?: Gaps in International Legal Literature*, 1 CHI. J. INT'L L. 101 (2000)
- William H. Manz, *Floating "Free" in Cyberspace: Law Reviews in the Internet Era*, 74 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 1069 (2000)
- Nathan H. Saunders, Note, *Student-Edited Law Reviews: Reflections and Responses of an Inmate*, 49 DUKE L.J. 1663 (2000)
- Fred R. Shapiro, *The Most-Cited Legal Scholars*, 29 J. LEGAL STUD. 409 (2000)
- Louis J. Sirico, *The Citing of Law Reviews by the Supreme Court: 1971 – 1999*, 75 IND. L.J. 1009 (2000)
- Gregory S. Crespi, *Ranking Specialized Law Reviews: A Methodological Critique*, 26 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 837 (1999)
- Tracey E. George & Chris Guthrie, *An Empirical Evaluation of Specialized Law Reviews*, 26 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 813 (1999)
- Tracey E. George & Chris Guthrie, *In Defense of Author Prominence: A Reply to Crespi and Korobkin*, 26 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 877 (1999)
- Russell Korobkin, *Ranking Journals: Some Thoughts on Theory and Methodology*, 26 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 851 (1999)
- Gerald P. Moran, *An Irreverent and Idiosyncratic Review of the Historical Foundation of the University of Toledo Law Review*, 30 U. TOL. L. REV. 505 (1999)
- Mary Beth Beazley & Linda H. Edwards, *The Process and the Product: A Bibliography of Scholarship About Legal Scholarship*, 49 MERCER L. REV. 741 (1998)
- Gregory Scott Crespi, *Ranking the Environmental Law, Natural Resources Law, and Land Use Planning Journals: A Survey of Expert Opinion*, 23 WM. & MARY ENVTL. L. & POL'Y REV. 273 (1998)
- Lisa Eichhorn, *Writing in the Legal Academy: A Dangerous Supplement?*, 40 ARIZ. L. REV. 105 (1998)
- Lawrence M. Friedman, *Law Reviews and Legal Scholarship: Some Comments*, 75 DENV. U. L. REV. 661 (1998)
- James W. Harper, *Why Student-Run Law Reviews?*, 82 MINN. L. REV. 1261 (1998)
- Douglas Litowitz, *Legal Writing: Its Nature, Limits, and Dangers*, 49 MERCER L. REV. 709 (1998)
- Reinhard Zimmerman, *Law Reviews: A Foray Through a Strange World*, 47 EMORY L.J. 659 (1998)
- Gregory Scott Crespi, *Ranking International and Comparative Law Journals: A Survey of Expert Opinion*, 31 INT'L LAW. 869 (1997)
- Richard S. Harnsberger, *Reflections About Law Reviews and American Legal Scholarship*, 76 NEB. L. REV. 681 (1997)
- Richard J. Hibbits, *Last Writes? Reassessing the Law Review in the Age of Cyberspace*, 21 RUTGERS L. REV. 5 (1997)
- Robert M. Jarvis & Phyllis G. Coleman, *Ranking Law Reviews: An Empirical Analysis Based on Author Prominence*, 39 ARIZ. L. REV. 15-24 (1997)
- J.M. Balkain & Sanford Levinson, *How to Win Cites and Influence People*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 843-69 (1996)
- Edward R. Becker, *In Praise of Footnotes*, 74 WASH. U. L.Q. 1 (1996)

- Michael L. Clozen & Robert J. Dzielak, *The History and Influence of the Law Review Institution*, 30 AKRON L. REV. 15-53 (1996)
- R.H. Coase, *The Problem of Social Cost: The Citations*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 809-12 (1996)
- Richard Delgado, *The Colonial Scholar: Do Outsider Authors Replicate the Citation Practices of the Insiders, But in Reverse?*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 969-76 (1996)
- Howard A. Denmark, *The Death of Law Reviews Has Been Predicted: What Might Be Lost When the Last Law Review Shuts Down?*, 27 SETON HALL L. REV. 1 (1996)
- Howard A. Denmark, *How Valid Is the Often-Repeated Criticism That There Are Too Many Law Reviews?*, 30 AKRON L. REV. 215 (1996)
- William N. Eskridge, Jr., *Outsider-Insiders: The Academy of the Closet*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 977-87 (1996)
- Peter A. Joy, *Clinical Scholarship: Improving the Practice of Law*, 2 CLINICAL L. REV. 385-412 (1996)
- Rosa Ehrenreich, *Look Who's Editing: At Law Reviews, It's the Students Who Call the Shots—and Some Professors Can't Take it Anymore*, LINGUA FRANCA Jan.-Feb. 1996, at 59-63
- Bernard J. Hibbitts, *Last Writes? Reassessing the Law Review in the Age of Cyberspace*, N.Y.U. L. REV. 615-88 (1996)
- M. Ethan Katsch, *Law Review and the Migration to Cyberspace*, 29 AKRON L. REV. 115-21 (1996)
- William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, *Heavily Cited Articles in Law*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 825-40 (1996)
- Nancy Levit, *Defining Cutting Edge Scholarship: Feminism and Criteria of Rationality*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 947-68 (1996)
- James Lindgren & Daniel Seltzer, *The Most Prolific Law Professors and Faculties*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 781-807 (1996)
- Gregory E. Maggs, *Self-Publication on the Internet and the Future of Law Reviews*, 30 AKRON L. REV. 237 (1996)
- Deborah Jones Merritt & Melanie Putnam, *Judges and Scholars: Do Courts and Scholarly Journals Cite the Same Law Review Articles?*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 871-908 (1996)
- Frances Olsen, *Affirmative Action: Necessary But Not Sufficient*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 937-45 (1996)
- Fred R. Shapiro, *The Most-Cited Law Review Articles Revisited*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 751-79 (1996)
- Jean Stefancic, *The Law Review Symposium: A Hard Party to Crash for the Critics, Feminists and Other Outsiders*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 989-99 (1996)
- Jean Stefancic & Richard Delgado, *Outsider Scholars: The Early Stories*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 1001-13 (1996)
- Peter Stein, *Law Reviews and Legal Culture*, 70 TULANE L. REV. 2675-79 (1996)
- Mark Tushnet, *Interdisciplinary Legal Scholarship: The Case of History-in-Law*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 909-35 (1996)
- Arthur Austin, *Evaluating Storytelling as a Type of Nontraditional Scholarship*, 74 NEB. L. REV. 479-528 (1995)
- David S. Friedman, et al., *Editors' Forum*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1157-60 (1995)
- James Lindgren, *Reforming the American Law Review*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1123-30 (1995)
- Leo P. Martinez, *Babies, Bathwater, and Law Reviews*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1139-45 (1995)
- John T. Noonan, Jr., *Law Reviews*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1117-21 (1995)

- Richard A. Posner, *The Future of the Student-Edited Law Review*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1131-38 (1995)
- Christopher Shea, *Students v. Professors: Law-Review Debate Heats up as Student Editors Clash With Faculty Authors*, CHRON. HIGHER ED., June 2, 1995, at A1, A33-34
- Mark Tushnet, *The Death of an Author, by Himself*, 70 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 111 (1994)
- Robert Weisberg, *Some Ways to Think About Law Reviews*, 47 STAN. L. REV. 1147-56 (1995)
- Ann Althouse, Randy E. Barnett, Richard A. Epstein, James Lindgren, Ira C. Lupu, Gregory E. Maggs & Mark Tushnet, *Symposium on Law Review Editing: The Struggle Between Author and Editor Over Control of the Text*, 70 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 71-140 (1994)
- Arthur Austin, *The Top Ten Politically Correct Law Reviews*, 1994 UTAH L. REV. 1319-52
- C. Steven Bradford, *As I Lay Writing: How to Write Law Review Articles for Fun and Profit*, 44 J. LEGAL EDUC. 13-34 (1994)
- W.H. Bryson & J.N. Jorgenson, *Twentieth-Century Virginia Legal Periodicals: A Bibliography and Commentary*, 86 L. LIBR. J. 541-58 (1994)
- Alfred F. Conard, *A Lovable Law Review*, 44 J. LEGAL EDUC. 1-5 (1994)
- Wendy J. Gordon, *Counter-Manifesto: Student-Edited Reviews and the Intellectual Properties of Scholarship*, 61 U. CHI. L. REV. 541-51 (1994)
- James Lindgren, *An Author's Manifesto*, 61 U. CHI. L. REV. 527-40 (1994)
- David M. Richardson, *Improving the Law Review Model: A Case in Point*, 44 J. LEGAL EDUC. 6-12 (1994)
- Michael J. Saks, Howard Larsen & Carol J. Hodne, *Is There a Growing Gap Among Law, Law Practice, and Legal Scholarship?*, 28 SUFFOLK U.L. REV. 1163-87 (1994)
- Arthur Austin, *The Reliability of Citation Counts in Judgments on Promotion, Tenure, and Status*, 35 ARIZ. L. REV. 829-39 (1993)
- John M. Lindsey, *Academic Law Review Writing*, 17 NOVA L. REV. 917-19 (1993)
- Carol Sanger, *Editing*, 82 GEO. L.J. 513-27 (1993)
- Bart Sloan, *What Are We Writing For? Student Works as Authority and Their Citation by the Federal Bench 1888-1990*, 61 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 221-71 (1993)
- Ronald L. Brown, *Rave Reviews: The Top Ten Journals of the 1990s*, 12 LEGAL REFERENCE SERVICES Q. 121-33 (1992)
- Michael L. Closten & Robert M. Jarvis, *The National Conference of Law Reviews Model Code of Ethics: Final Text and Comments*, 75 MARQ. L. REV. 509-28 (1992)
- Richard Delgado, *The Imperial Scholar Revisited: How to Marginalize Outsider Writing, Ten Years Later*, 140 U. PA. L. REV. 1349-72 (1992)
- Richard Delgado, *Legal Scholarship: Insiders, Outsiders, Editors*, 63 U. COLO. L. REV. 717-23 (1992)
- Thomas L. Hafemeister, *Comparing Law Reviews for Their Amenability to Articles Addressing Mental Health Issues: How to Disseminate Law-Related Social Science Research*, 16 L. & HUM. BEHAV. 219-31 (1992)
- George L. Priest, *Triumphs or Failings of Modern Legal Scholarship and the Conditions of Its Production*, 63 U. COLO. L. REV. 725-30 (1992)
- Edward L. Rubin, *On Beyond Truth: A Theory for Evaluating Legal Scholarship*, 80 CAL. L. REV. 889-963 (1992)

- Jean Stefancic, *The Law Review Symposium Issue: Community of Meaning or Reinscription of Hierarchy?*, 63 U. COLO. L. REV. 651 (1992)
- Max Stier, Kelly M. Klaus, Dan L. Bagatell & Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, *Law Review Usage and Suggestions for Improvement: A Survey of Attorneys, Professors, and Judges*, 44 STAN. L. REV. 1467-1513 (1992)
- James D. Gordon, *Law Review and the Modern Mind*, 33 ARIZ. L. REV. 265-71 (1991)
- Erik M. Jensen, Dale Carpenter, Arthur Austin, W. Lawrence Church, James Lingren & Kenneth Lasson, *Commentary*, 24 CONN. L. REV. 159-209 (1991)
- Kenneth Lasson, *Scholarship Amok: Excesses in the Pursuit of Truth and Tenure*, 103 HARV. L. REV. 926-95 (1991)
- Louis J. Sirico, Jr. & Beth A. Drew, *The Citing of Law Reviews by the United States Courts of Appeals: An Empirical Analysis*, 45 U. MIAMI L. REV. 1051-60 (1991)
- Fernando L. Torres Ramirez, *Un reto a la junta editora entrante de la Revista de Derecho Puertorriqueño*, 31 REVISTA DE DERECHO PUERTORRIQUEÑO 31-37 (1991)
- E. Joshua Rosenkranz, *The Empire Strikes Back*, 22 ST. MARY'S L.J. 943-57 (1991)
- Michael Vitiello, *Journal Wars*, 22 ST. MARY'S L.J. 927-41 (1991)
- Arthur D. Austin, *The "Custom of Vetting" as a Substitute for Peer Review*, 32 ARIZ. L. REV. 1-8 (1990)
- James Leonard, *Seein' the Cites: A Guided Tour of Citation Patterns in Recent American Law Review Articles*, 34 ST. LOUIS U. L.J. 181-239 (1990)
- James Lindgren, *Fear of Writing*, 78 CAL. L. REV. 1677-1701 (1990)
- James Lindgren, *Return to Sender*, 78 CAL. L. REV. 1719-28 (1990)
- Geoffrey Preckshot, *All Hail Emperor Law Review: Criticism of the Law Review System and its Success at Provoking Change*, 55 MO. L. REV. 1005-20 (1990)
- Mike Antoline, *The New Law Reviews: A Burst of Specialty Alternatives*, STUDENT LAW., May 1989 at 26-30
- John S. Elson, *The Case Against Legal Scholarship: Or, If the Professor Must Publish, Must the Profession Perish?*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 343-81 (1989)
- Scott Finet, *The Most Frequently Cited Law Reviews and Legal Periodicals*, 9 LEGAL REFERENCE SERVICES Q. 227-40 (1989)
- Julius Getman, *The Internal Scholarly Jury*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 337-41 (1989)
- Erik M. Jensen, *The Law Review Manuscript Glut: The Need for Guidelines*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 383-86 (1989)
- Judith S. Kaye, *One Judge's View of Academic Law Review Writing*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 313-21 (1989)
- Jordan H. Leibman & James P. White, *How the Student-Edited Law Journals Make Their Publication Decisions*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 387-425 (1989)
- Peter H. Schuck, *Why Don't Law Professors Do More Empirical Research?*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 323-36 (1989)
- Michael L. Closen, *A Proposed Code of Professional Responsibility for Law Reviews*, 83 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 55-82 (1988)
- Phillip C. Kissam, *The Evaluation of Legal Scholarship*, 63 WASH. L. REV. 221-55 (1988)
- E. Joshua Rosenkranz, *Law Review's Empire*, 39 HASTINGS L.J. 859-926 (1988)
- Edward L. Rubin, *The Practice and Discourse of Legal Scholarship*, 86 MICH. L. REV. 1835-1905 (1988)

- Alvin R. Sharp, *The Code of Professional Responsibility for Law Reviews: An Idea whose Time has Come*, 15 S.U. L. REV. 387-95 (1988)
- William R. Slomanson, *Do's and Taboos with Law Reviews*, 8 LEGAL REFERENCE SERVICES Q. 225-34 (1988)
- Robert H. Abrams, *Sing Muse: Legal Scholarship for New Law Teachers*, 37 J. LEGAL EDUC. 1-13 (1987)
- Mary Kay Kane, *Some Thoughts on Scholarship for Beginning Teachers*, 37 J. LEGAL EDUC. 14-19 (1987)
- William R. Slomanson, *The Bottom Line: Footnote Logic in Law Review Writing*, 7 LEGAL REFERENCE SERVICES Q. 47-69 (1987)
- Michael Vitiello, *In Defense of Student-Run Law Reviews*, 17 CUMB. L. REV. 859-75 (1987)
- Paul D. Carrington, *The Dangers of the Graduate School Model*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 11-13 (1986)
- Roger C. Cramton, "The Most Remarkable Institution": *The American Law Review*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 1-10 (1986)
- Richard Delgado, *How to Write a Law Review Article*, 20 U.S.F. L. REV. 445 (1986)
- Margaret A. Goldblatt, *Current Legal Periodicals: A Use Study*, 78 LAW LIBR. J. 55-72 (1986)
- David H. Kaye & Ira Mark Ellman, *The Pitfalls of Empirical Research: Studying Faculty Publications*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 24-34 (1986)
- John G. Kester, *Faculty Participation in the Student-Edited Law Review*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 14-17 (1986)
- Ronald B. Lansing, *The Creative Bridge Between Authors and Editors*, 45 MD. L. REV. 241-52 (1986)
- Richard A. Mann, *The Use of Legal Periodicals by Courts and Journals*, 26 JURIMETRICS J. 400-20 (1986)
- Scott M. Martin, *The Law Review Citadel: Rodell Revisited*, 71 IOWA L. REV. 1093-1108 (1986)
- Richard A. Posner, *Goodbye to the Bluebook*, 53 U. CHI. L. REV. 1343-52 (1986)
- Ronald D. Rotunda, *Law Reviews—The Extreme Centrist Position*, 62 IND. L.J. 1-11 (1986)
- John Henry Schlegel, *An Endangered Species?*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 18-20 (1986)
- Elyce H. Zenoff, *I Have Seen the Enemy and They Are Us*, 36 J. LEGAL EDUC. 21-23 (1986)
- Louis J. Sirico, Jr. & Jeffrey B. Margulies, *The Citing of Law Reviews by the Supreme Court: An Empirical Study*, 34 UCLA L. REV. 131-47 (1986)
- John E. Nowak, *Woe Unto You, Law Reviews!*, 27 ARIZ. L. REV. 317-28 (1985)
- Fred R. Shapiro, *The Most-Cited Law Review Articles*, 73 CAL. L. REV. 1540-54 (1985)
- Michael I. Swygert & Jon W. Bruce, *The Historical Origins, Founding, and Early Development of Student-Edited Law Reviews*, 36 HASTINGS L.J. 739-91 (1985)
- Michael I. Swygert & Nathaniel E. Gozansky, *Senior Law Faculty Publication Study: Comparison of Law School Productivity*, 35 J. LEGAL EDUC. 373-93 (1985)
- Richard Delgado, *The Imperial Scholar: Reflections on a Review of Civil Rights Literature*, 132 U. PA. L. REV. 561-78 (1984)
- John Henry Schlegel, *Searching for Archimedes—Legal Education, Legal Scholarship, and Liberal Ideology*, 34 J. LEGAL EDUC. 103-10 (1984)

- Ira Mark Ellman, *A Comparison of Law Faculty Production in Leading Law Reviews*, 33 J. LEGAL EDUC. 681-92 (1983)
- Josh E. Fidler, *Law Review Operations and Management*, 33 J. LEGAL EDUC. 48-63 (1983)
- Roger C. Henderson, *A Tribute to Student-Edited Law Reviews*, 25 ARIZ. L. REV. 1-2 (1983)
- Frank K. Richardson, *Law Reviews and the Courts*, 5 WHITTIER L. REV. 385-93 (1983)
- Barbara H. Cane, *The Role of Law Review in Legal Education*, 31 J. LEGAL EDUC. 215-31 (1981)
- Robert E. Riggs, *The Law Review Experience: The Participant View*, 31 J. LEGAL EDUC. 646-56 (1981)
- Jose Trias Monge, *Las revistas jurídicas y el derecho Puertorriqueño*, 15 REVISTA DE LA UNIVERSIDAD INTERAMERICANA DE PUERTO RICO 1-5 (1980)
- Nancy P. Johnson, *Legal Periodical Usage Survey: Method and Application*, 71 LAW LIBR. J. 177-86 (1978)
- Olavu Maru, *Measuring the Impact of Legal Periodicals*, 1976 AM. B. FOUND. RES. J. 227-49
- Fred Rodell, *Goodbye to Law Reviews—Revisited*, 48 VA. L. REV. 279-90 (1962)
- Roger J. Traynor, *To the Right Honorable Law Reviews*, 10 UCLA L. REV. 3-10 (1962)
- Gerhard O.W. Mueller & Jerome H. Skolnick, *Bar Reactions to Legal Periodicals: The West Virginia Survey*, 11 J. LEGAL EDUC. 197-206 (1958)
- Harold C. Havighurst, *Law Reviews and Legal Education*, 51 NW. U. L. REV. 22-26 (1956)
- Richard H. Lee, *Administration of the Law Review*, 9 J. LEGAL EDUC. 223-30 (1956)
- Edmond Cahn, *The Editor's Secret*, 28 N.Y.U. L. REV. 922-26 (1953)
- Stanley H. Fuld, *A Judge Looks at the Law Review*, 28 N.Y.U. L. REV. 915-21 (1953)
- Howard C. Westwood, *The Law Review Should Become the Law School*, 31 VA. L. REV. 913-19 (1945)
- David F. Cavers, *New Fields for the Legal Periodical*, 23 VA. L. REV. 1-21 (1936)
- Fred Rodell, *Goodbye to Law Reviews*, 23 VA. L. REV. 38-45 (1936)

Internet Resources

- Cheryl Hanna, *The Nuts and Bolts of Scholarship: Or the "New" Rules for Legal Scholars*, AALS Workshop for New Teachers, at <http://www.aals.org/nlt2004/program1.html>

