

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: PRINCIPLES AND POLICY, CASES AND MATERIALS

SEVENTH EDITION
2008 Supplement

LEXISNEXIS LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

Charles P. Craver

Freda H. Alverson Professor of Law
The George Washington University Law School

Richard D. Freer

Robert Howell Hall Professor of Law
Emory University School of Law

Craig Joyce

*Andrews Kurth Professor of Law &
Co-Director, Institute for Intellectual Property and Information Law*
University of Houston Law Center

Ellen S. Podgor

*Professor of Law &
Associate Dean of Faculty Development and Electronic Education*
Stetson University College of Law

Paul F. Rothstein

Professor of Law
Georgetown University Law Center

Robin Wellford Slocum

*Professor of Law & Director,
Legal Research and Writing Program*
Chapman University School of Law

Charles J. Tabb

Alice Curtis Campbell Professor of Law
University of Illinois College of Law

David I. C. Thomson

LP Professor & Director, Lawyering Process Program
University of Denver, Sturm College of Law

Judith Welch Wegner

Professor of Law
University of North Carolina School of Law

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: PRINCIPLES AND POLICY, CASES AND MATERIALS

SEVENTH EDITION
2008 SUPPLEMENT

Jerome A. Barron

Harold H. Greene Professor of Law
The George Washington University Law School

C. Thomas Dienes

Lyle T. Alverson Professor of Law
The George Washington University Law School

Wayne McCormack

Professor of Law
University of Utah

Martin H. Redish

Louis and Harriet Ancel Professor of Law and Public Policy
Northwestern University

ISBN: 978-1-4224-2659-3

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc, used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2008 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Library of Congress Card Number:

All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool.

Editorial Offices

744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 2:	NATIONAL POWERS & FEDERALISM	1
§ 2.01	THE NATURE OF FEDERAL POWER	1
	<i>Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.</i>	1
§ 2.05	THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT	2
CHAPTER 3:	STATE POWER IN AMERICAN FEDERALISM	5
§ 3.02	THE MODERN FOCUS	5
[A]	Discrimination: Purpose, Means, Effects	5
	<i>United Haulers Association, Inc. v. Oneida-Herkimer Solid</i>	
	<i>Waste Management Authority</i>	5
	<i>Dept. of Revenue v. Davis</i>	14
CHAPTER 4:	EXECUTIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS: SEPARATION OF POWERS	17
§ 4.03	THE FOREIGN ARENA	17
[B]	The War Power	17
[3]	The War Power in an Age of Terrorism	17
	<i>Padilla v. Hanft</i>	17
	<i>Medellin v. Texas</i>	18
	<i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i>	18
	<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	37
	<i>Munaf v. Geren</i>	64
CHAPTER 5:	LIMITATIONS ON GOVERNMENTAL POWER	69
§ 5.04	PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS	69
	<i>Jones v. Flowers</i>	69
	<i>Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Association v. Brentwood</i>	
	<i>Academy</i>	71
§ 5.05	SECOND AMENDMENT	71
	<i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i>	71
CHAPTER 6:	FORMS OF SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS	93
§ 6.01	ECONOMIC SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS	93
[D]	An Evolving View of Economic Liberties	93
[2]	Punitive Damages	93
§ 6.02	FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS	94
[A]	Contraception and Abortion	94
	<i>Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of New England</i>	94

Table of Contents

Gonzales v. Carhart 96

[E] Health and Life 108

[2] The Right to Refuse Treatment 108

Gonzalez v. Oregon 108

CHAPTER 7: THE MEANING OF EQUAL PROTECTION 111

§ 7.02 SUSPECT CLASSIFICATIONS — RACE 111

[D] Benign Quotas, Preferential Treatment, and
Affirmative Action 111

[3] Education Revisited 111

*Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School
District No. 1* 111

§ 7.04 FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND INTERESTS 137

[C] Equal Access to the Franchise 137

[1] Denial of the Franchise 137

Crawford v. Marion Cty. Election Bd. 137

[2] Dilution of the Franchise 138

League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry 138

CHAPTER 8: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION 141

§8.01 FREE SPEECH DOCTRINE 141

[F] Speech in Restricted Environments 141

[1] Student Speech 141

Morse v. Frederick 141

Garcetti v. Ceballos 153

*Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Association
v. Brentwood Academy* 162

Beard v. Banks 163

[2] Publicly Funded Speech 164

Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights . . 164

§ 8.02 CALIBRATING FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTION 172

[B] Obscene and Indecent Speech 172

[6] Child Pornography: Unprotected Expression? 172

United States v. Williams 172

§ 8.04 FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION 172

[B] Association for Election Purposes 172

[1] Campaign Spending 172

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. FEC 172

Randall v. Sorrell 173

FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. 174

Davis v. Federal Election Commission 176

[C] The Right of Nonassociation and Compelled Speech 176

Table of Contents

Davenport v. Washington Education Association 176

Chamber of Commerce v. Brown 178

CHAPTER 9: FREEDOM OF RELIGION: ESTABLISHMENT AND FREE EXERCISE 179

§ 9.02 FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION 179

 [C] Free Exercise and Accommodation 179

Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente Uniao

Do Vegetal 179

CHAPTER 11: LIMITATIONS ON JUDICIAL REVIEW 183

§ 11.03 JUSTICIABILITY LIMITATIONS 183

 [A] The Standing Limitation: Who Can Litigate? 183

 [1] Taxpayer and Citizen Standing: The Ideological Plaintiff

and the Requirement of Injury in Fact 183

DaimlerChrysler v. Cuno 183

Hein v. Freedom from Religion Foundation, Inc. 188

 [2] Determining the Existence of Injury and Causation 191

Massachusetts v. EPA 191

§ 11.05 THE TIMING LIMITATION: WHEN CAN CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION BE BROUGHT? 193

 [B] Ripeness, Prematurity and Abstractness 193

