

CRIMINAL LAW:
CASES, STATUTES, AND
LAWYERING STRATEGIES
2008 Supplement

LexisNexis Law School Publishing Advisory Board

Charles B. Craver*Freda H. Alverson Professor of Law***The George Washington University Law School****Richard D. Freer***Robert Howell Hall Professor of Law***Emory University School of Law****Craig Joyce***Andrews Kurth Professor of Law &**Co-Director, Institute for Intellectual Property and Information Law***University of Houston Law Center****Ellen S. Podgor***Professor of Law & Associate Dean of Faculty Development and Electronic Education***Stetson University College of Law****Paul F. Rothstein***Professor of Law***Georgetown University Law Center****Robin Wellford Slocum***Professor of Law & Director, Legal Research and Writing Program***Chapman University School of Law****Charles J. Tabb***Alice Curtis Campbell Professor of Law***University of Illinois College of Law****David I. C. Thomson LP Professor & Director, Lawyering Process Program University of Denver, Sturm College of Law****Judith Welch Wegner***Professor of Law***University of North Carolina School of Law**

CRIMINAL LAW:

CASES, STATUTES, AND LAWYERING STRATEGIES

2008 Supplement

DAVID CRUMP

John B. Neibel Professor of Law & Director of CLE
University of Houston Law Center

NEIL P. COHEN

Visiting Professor
Santa Clara Law School

LAURIE L. LEVENSON

Professor of Law & William M. Rains Fellow
Director, Center for Ethical Advocacy
Loyola Law School

JOHN T. PARRY

Professor of Law
Lewis & Clark Law School

PENELOPE PETHER

Professor of Law
Villanova University School of Law

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc, used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2008 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
978-1-4224--2664-7
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

NOTE TO USERS

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool..

Editorial Offices
744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 FOUNDATION CONSIDERATIONS: STATUTES, CRIMES, PROOF, PROCESSES, AND PURPOSES

§ 1.02	THE STATUTORY BASIS OF CRIMINAL LAW	1
	<i>STATE v. SANDOVAL</i>	1

CHAPTER 2 HOMICIDE

§ 2.04	THE PENNSYLVANIA PATTERN: DEFINING LEVELS OF HOMICIDE	3
[D]	Depraved-Heart Murder: Malice Aforethought in Unintentional Killings	3
	<i>PEOPLE v. KNOLLER</i>	3
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	7
§ 2.06	THE FELONY MURDER RULE	8
[A]	The Felony Murder Rule in Practice: Its Definition and Its Limits	8
[2]	Merger of Lesser Offenses	8

CHAPTER 3 THE ELEMENTS OF CRIMES: MENS REA AND ACTUS REUS

§ 3.03	FIVE ELEMENTS OF CRIMES	11
§ 3.04	ACTUS REUS: THE REQUIREMENT OF A VOLUNTARY ACT (OR OMISSION)	11
§ 3.08	MENS REA ELEMENTS IN CRIMES	11
[A]	Defining the Elements and Distinguishing Their Gradations	11
[B]	The Model Penal Code Approach	12
[1]	The Higher Mental States: Intent (“Purposefulness”) and Knowledge	12
§ 3.09	TRANSFERRED INTENT, DIFFERING CRIMES, AND THE OSTRICH PROBLEM	13
[B]	The “Ostrich Problem”: Deliberate Ignorance as Knowledge	13
	<i>UNITED STATES v. HEREDIA</i>	13
	NOTES AND QUESTIONS	21

CHAPTER 4 THE BUREN OF PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

§ 4.02	THE CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENT OF PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT	23
[B]	Crime “Elements,” “Defenses,” and “Affirmative Defenses”	23
§ 4.04	THE RISKS AND CONSEQUENCES OF ERROR (IN DEFINING OR APPLYING THE BURDEN)	23
[B]	The Costs of Erroneous Conviction	23
[D]	Can We Define Crimes So That We Make Proof of the	

Guilt-Innocence Distinction Clearer? An Example. 24

NOTE ON PROOF REQUIREMENTS AND CRIME DEFINITION 24

§ 4.05 PARTICULAR KINDS OF EVIDENCE 24

 [A] Circumstantial Evidence 24

 [C] Eyewitness Testimony 25

§ 4.09 REVIEW PROBLEMS BASED ON NEWSPAPER REPORTS . . . 25

 [B] Headline: Government Unlikely to Convict Top Enron Executive
 Ken Lay, Experts Say 25

CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DEFENSES TO CRIMES

§ 6.04 JUSTIFICATION (“I HAD A RIGHT TO DO IT”) AS THE BASIS
OF TRUE DEFENSES 27

 [A] Self-Defense 27

 [H] Necessity: The Choice of Evils 27

§ 6.05 EXCUSE (“I HAD NO RIGHT BUT I’M NOT RESPONSIBLE”) . 28

 [C] Insanity (and Incompetency) 28

 [3] The Model Penal Code: “Substantial Capacity” to “Appreciate”
 and “Conform” 28

 [4] Modern Approaches That Narrow or Abolish the
 Insanity Defense 28

§ 6.06 REVIEW PROBLEMS BASED ON NEWSPAPER CASES 29

 [B] “Psychiatrist Testifies That Mother Who Drowned Her Children
 Did Not Know Right from Wrong.” 29

CHAPTER 9 SENTENCING: THEORY AND PRACTICE

§ 9.02 AN INTRODUCTORY PROBLEM ON THE GOALS OF
SENTENCING 31

§ 9.06 MODERN VARIATIONS ON SENTENCING PHILOSOPHIES . . 31

 [A] The Expressive Theory of Punishment 31

§ 9.08 CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS ON LEGISLATIVE
SPECIFICATIONS OF SENTENCE LENGTHS 31

§ 9.09 SENTENCING OPTIONS 32

 [B] Incarceration 32

§ 9.14 CONSTITUTIONAL RESTRICTIONS OF FACTFINDING IN
SENTENCING: THE INVALIDATION OF MANDATORY
JUDICIAL GUIDELINES 32

§ 9.15 THE MECHANICS OF COMPUTING ADVISORY SENTENCES:
INSTRUCTIONS, EXCERPTS FROM THE GUIDELINES, AND
PROBLEMS 33

§ 9.17 THE DEATH PENALTY: JUSTIFYING, OPPOSING, OR
LIMITING ITS IMPOSITION 33

CHAPTER 10 PREPARATORY CRIMES

§ 10.06	CONSPIRACY	35
[D]	The Overt Act Requirement	35

CHAPTER 13 PERSPECTIVES: NON-CRIMINAL MEHTODS, VICTIMS' INTERESTS, TERRORISM, PLEA AGREEMENTS, AND LAWYER COMPETENCIES

§ 13.03	TERRORISM AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE	37
[B]	Executive Authority	37

CHAPTER 14 THE LEGALITY AND JUSTIFICATION OF CRIMINAL LAWS

§ 14.02	The Rule of Lenity and the Problem of Overbreadth	39
[A]	The Rule of Lenity	39
PROBLEM 14B (LEGALITY OF CRIMINALIZATION): CAN IT BE A CRIME TO USE A PUBLIC RESTROOM?		39

