WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CINDY VARGAS,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

26

27

Applicant,

vs.

Defendants.

SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION; SEDGWICK CMS, Case No. ADJ8727749 (Los Angeles District Office)

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REMOVAL

Defendant has filed a timely, Verified Petition for Removal, requesting that the Appeals Board rescind the Order dated April 17, 2013, wherein the workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) ordered: "Defendant to provide 'complete' medical provider network list [within California] 'in writing' in specialties of orthopedics, internal medicine, and psychiatry pursuant to Title 8 Cal. Reg. § 9767.12(f)(3) within 30 days." Defendant contends that it is only required to provide a list of providers within 30 miles of applicant's residence, that it has provided that list running to 100 pages, and that providing the entire list would be overbroad, burdensome and harassing. Applicant has filed an Answer.

Applicant, while employed as a "clerical inventory" from November 21, 2010, through November 21, 2012, claims to have sustained an industrial injury to multiple body parts. Apparently, the claim has been accepted by the employer. The employer has established a medical provider network (MPN) pursuant to Labor Code sections 4616 et seq.¹ Applicant resides in Canoga Park. Her employer is located in Sylmar.

Administrative Director Rule 9767.12(f)(3) (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 9767.12(f)(3)) provides in relevant part: "An employer or insurer shall ensure covered employees have access to, at minimum, a regional area listing of MPN providers in addition to maintaining and making available its complete

¹ Unless otherwise specified, all statutory references are to the Labor Code.

provider listing in writing. If an employee requests an electronic listing, it shall be provided electronically on a CD or on a website. If the provider directory is also accessible on a website, the URL address shall be listed with any additional information need to access the directory online" (emphasis added). In this case, the employer has made available the regional area listing but has not made available its complete provider listing.²

After our review of the record herein, we do not find the WCJ's Order to be burdensome or harassing. The employer has an electronic listing of all of the providers in its MPN. Rule 9767.12(f)(3) authorizes the employer to provide that listing to the employee electronically by CD or by website. The employer could also provide this information by link to the comprehensive electronic listing, after limiting the list to the specialties specified by the WCJ. Even if the applicant does not have Internet access, her attorneys do have such access, and the list can be served on her attorneys. This is not difficult, and it is not burdensome. For this reason, we do not believe that defendant has demonstrated that the Order will cause substantial prejudice and/or irreparable harm, as required by WCAB Rule 10843, and we deny removal.

///

///

///

///

III

///

///

 $^{^{2}}$ The website to which defendant refers at page 4 of its petition cannot be searched for a distance of more than 99 miles.

1	For the foregoing reasons,
2	IT IS ORDERED that defendant's Petition for Removal is DENIED.
3	
4	WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD
5	C
6	
7	MARGUERITE SWEENEY
8	I CONCUR,
9	
10	
11	FRANK M. BRASS
12	CONCURRING, BUT NOT SIGNING
13	ALFONSO J. MORESI
14	
15 16	
17	DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
18	
19	SEP 2 6 2013
20	SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR
21	ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.
22	CINDY VARGAS JILL RODERICK
23	
24	PERONA, LANGER, BECK, SERBIN & MENDOZA
25	l.
26	MR/ara
27	
	VARGAS, Cindy 3

I

CASE NUMBER(s): ADJ8727749 Lao District Office

CINDY VARGAS

vs.

SEARS HOLDINGS CORP.; Segdwick CMS,

DATE(S) OF INJURY:

WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE:

KACEY J. KEATING

11/21/10 to 11/21/12

<u>REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u> <u>ON PETITION FOR REMOVAL</u>

Ι

INTRODUCTION

A court order dated 4/17/13 ordered the defendant to provide a written list to the applicant of the physicians in its Medical Provider Network in California in the specialties of orthopedics, internal medicine, and psychiatry.

On April 24, 2013 the defendant filed a timely Petition for Removal. The petition contends that it is sufficient that the defendant has already provided the applicant a written list of all providers within a 30 mile radius in the specialties requested by applicant's counsel. Page 4 of the petition provides the website address at which the applicant and her counsel may review all doctors on line.

П

DISCUSSION

The defendants think it is sufficient that the applicant has been provided with a written list of all providers within a 30 mile radius, but the applicant would like a more expansive list of providers, including a list of providers beyond a 30 mile radius.

Rather than limit the list to a 30 mile radius, the defendants should provide the applicant with a written list of all providers within the State of California in the specialties of orthopedics, internal medicine, and psychiatry.

ш

2

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

It is respectfully recommended that the Petition for Removal, filed on April 24, 2013 (and dated April 23, 2013) be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

NG WORKERS' SATION JUDGE COM

Served by mail on By: Verna Brown

CINDY VARGAS

ADJ8727749