

Context for Courts

Know the venue. Set your case up for success right from the start.

Whether you're a plaintiff determining where to file your case or defense counsel considering a transfer of venue, **Context for Courts** quickly shows how many cases like yours have been tried in a court and how the court ruled so you can build your best arguments using language proven to persuade your court.



Edward J. Davila San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building

View 28 More

ision. Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Co

Begin with a simple court search. Type in the name of the court or the area you want to search, such as a state name, and the Context search word wheel will display all available courts.

FIND THE RIGHT COURT TO MAKE YOUR CASE

2005

2010

2015

2011

2014

Select a court to get the full picture of its history including active judges, cases tried in the court, an analytical deep dive into their motion outcomes, citation patterns and more.

HAVE AN ANALYTICAL ADVANTAGE-BEFORE YOU EVEN FILE YOUR CASE

Every litigator knows that arguing his or her case before the right court can be a critical first step toward ensuring the best outcome. Only Context for Courts, brings to light the cases and language a specific court cites most often—even before you file your case.

How does it work?

Using advanced technology, language analytics is deployed across millions of court opinions and motions, allowing you to quickly identify the language a specific court relies on most often.

This is critical litigation intelligence you can use to:

- Find a court with precedent that's most favorable to your view—use that intel to craft your most compelling argument and avoid arguments your court disfavors.
- See how many cases like yours have been tried in a specific court—review how the court ruled on those cases and why.
- Craft your argument to local precedent identify the most persuasive language and avoid arguments your court disfavors.
- Determine whether a change of venue is in order—defense attorneys can use the same deep court analytics to file for a change in venue, and even identify compelling language to support the motion.

Context Court	✓ Enter the name of a court	Q. Client:-None- History Help More		
United States District Court, California Northern US Overview Analytics Documents @ Link to this page d. Create Report				
Motion Language Citation Patterns				
tit naraas ∽				
Motion Decisions from United States District Court, California Northern's cases \odot			16823 cases where United States District Court, California Northern ruled on a motion to dismiss \odot	
Granted Partial Denied			1. N.A. Sales Co. v. Seo	
Motion Type ③	Analysis	Total	Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley Sep 18, 2019 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160064	
Alimate at a relation and a string large fragments and hard methods when a string large fragments and hard methods and and and and and and method and and and and and hard method and and and hard method and and and hard methods and and and and hard methods and and and and and hard methods and and and and and and hard methods and and and and and and and and and hard methods and		009 18989 13140 3166 2772 2387 2387 1891 1599 1595 1595 1595 1595 1477 1346 1349 1249 1249 1223 1299	Micros Micros micros in terms and a partial Partial Defined targing Partial Microsoft Barling Partial	

Context Court Court Enter the name of a court	Q Client-None-History Help More		
United States District Court, California Northern			
Overview Analytics Documents	🥔 Link to this page 🔄 🛃 Create Report		
Motion Language Citation Patterns			
tit pures			
Frequently Cited Opinions Jodges	United States District Court, California Northern citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.		
Anderson v. Liberty Lobio, Inc.	Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in Operating Engineers' Health & Welfare Trust Fund for N. Cal. v. Vortex Marine Constr.		
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett	United States District Court, California Northern Sep 4, 2019		
Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't	In deciding a motion for summary judgment, a court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party and draw all justifiable inferences in its favor. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 255 ; Hunt v.		
Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly	City of Los Angeles, 638 F.3d 703, 709 (9th Cir. 2011).		
Ashcroft v. Igbal	More Like This		
West v. Atkins			
Strickland v. Washington	Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in Henneberry v. City of Newark United States District Court, California Northern Sep 4, 2019		
Farmer v. Brennan	If the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party must then set forth specific facts showing		
Williams v. Taylor	that there is some genuine issue for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)(1) ; Anderson, 477 U.S. at 250 .		
Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.	More Like This		
Estelle v. Gamble			
Rand v. Rowland	Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in Barrow v. San Francisco Sheriff's Dep't United States District Court, California Northern Aug 5, 2019		
Slack v. McDaniel	Anderson, 477 U.S. at 254, "Instead, the non-moving party must go beyond the pleadings and by its own		
Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith R	evidence set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial."		
McGuckin v. Smith	More Like This		
Brecht v. Abrahamson			
Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs.	Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in Operating Engineers' Health & Welfare Trust Fund for N. Cal. v. Vortex Marine Constr.		
Phillips v, AWH Corp.	United States District Court, California Northern Sep 4, 2019		

Sign in: LexisAdvance.com Learn more: LexisNexis.com/Context



