

LITIGATION INSIGHTS

WAGSTAFFE'S CIVIL PROCEDURE BEFORE TRIAL
MATTHEW BENDER

Exclusive Government Contracting Content on Lexis Nexis

Where do you look for up to date information on Government Contracting issues and developments? Here is some information about 2 exclusive resources available on Lexis Nexis to help you keep your awareness current.

Pratt 's Government Contracting Law Report is a monthly publication available on Lexis Advance. The analysis and commentary are written by top government contracts law practitioners and covers a wide range of government contracting areas. These topics include, but are not limited to: bidding/awards, goods/services procurement, debarment/suspension, claims/disputes, audits/pricing, labor/employment, property/leasing, fraud/false claims, grants, international procurement, and intellectual property. It is often used by government lawyers seeking guidance on such issues, but is also utilized by attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, senior business executives, and government contractors in many industries. Pratt 's Government Contracting Law Report contains guidance for key industry sectors - aerospace and defense, electronics, communications, health care, IT, technical services, real estate, financial services, construction, transportation, and the life sciences. It is a one -stop resource for all legal issues involving federal government contracting law!

Another resource for practitioners in the government contracting field can be found on Law 360. If you are not familiar with Law 360, it is a trusted news source for legal professionals, business leaders, and government officials. Law360 covers most events within 24 hours so that you know what's happening in a variety of practice areas and industries as quickly as possible. Law 360 provides real-time alerts and daily newsletters, including a newsletter covering government contracting news and developments. When you subscribe to the newsletter you will receive up-to-date news related to government contracting current events and happenings. Since issues often develop over time, you will sometimes find that topics discussed on Law 360 are also discussed in greater detail in an article in Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report.

In addition to these 2 exclusive resources, you can find many other sources of information for your government contract analysis on Lexis Nexis platforms. If you need training or additional information, please reach out to your Solutions Consultant and they will be glad to provide support.

Matthew Bender: Legal Research and Analysis is Just Around the Bend

In 1915, Matthew Bender Sr. started a legal research phenomenon out of his home in Albany, NY. Eventually, Bender Sr. and his son would begin publishing one of the first legal treatises in the United States: Collier on Bankruptcy.

Following Collier, the legacy of Bender remained anything but bankrupt. The firm continued its legal publishing legacy and now maintains an active publishing schedule of over 1000 sources a year on every legal topic, practice area, and issue imaginable.

Whether you need instruction on advocacy, civil rights law, or a practice manual for North Carolina tort law, there is a Matthew Bender publication for that. All Bender publications are available exclusively on Lexis Advance and the large majority are updated annually.

A few notable Bender publications include:

- Chisum on Patents
- Corbin on Contracts
- Gilson on Trademarks
- Horwitz on Patent Litigation
- Larson on Employment Discrimination
- Lawyers' Medical Cyclopedica
- Moore's Federal Practice
- Cohen's Handbook of Federal Indian Law
- McGrady on Social Media

Besides great content, Bender on Lexis Advance means that all your favorite legal publications get a dynamic twist. **Take this section from Larson on Employment Discrimination:**



A. Table of Contents on the left-hand side allows you to move throughout a treatise with ease.

B. On the right-hand side, the **“find references to this treatise” search tool** locates additional content that discusses this specific treatise section.

C. “Crosslinking” takes a user to the referenced footnote that then contains a hyperlink to the section of the referenced source without you having to leave Lexis Advance.

To inquire further about all the mind-bending magic offered by Bender, please reach out to your local solutions consultant.

Seven Essential Steps To Winning Your Motions

By Jim Wagstaffe



As a former judicial law clerk, teacher of judges and practice guide author, I am often asked: “How do you win motions that can win your client’s case?” I always answer with the mantra that successful motion practice is comprised of two critical elements: first, telling the court how you should win and second, telling the court why you should win.

Since the “how” of winning motions is so dependent on substantive law and following the correct procedures, see *The Wagstaffe Group, Federal Civ. Pro. Before Trial* (LN 2020), let me share with you here what I consider to be the seven essential steps to winning your motion by underscoring “why” victory should come your client’s way.

1. Understand Judicial Attention Spans: Write a Killer Introduction

Given the limited time judges and their clerks may have to digest the parties’ briefs (a judge friend of mine calls them “longs”), you need to seize the court’s attention at the start of your papers with a killer introduction. This introduction section should engagingly frame the issue(s), favorably summarize your client’s take on the factual context and then state precisely what ruling you seek and the multiple reasons why such a ruling should issue.

Many, if not most, judges receive a bench memorandum from their staff attorney or law clerk providing a “USA Today version” of the motion and the arguments. I always write my introductions as if that is the only thing the court will read and in such a way that it could be incorporated word-for-word into the short summary part of the bench memo. And for what it’s worth and space permitting, I usually also have a mini-summary in the conclusion and always state exactly what order my client is seeking and why.

2. Be Certain Your Brief and Arguments Tell the Story

The reader of the brief (be it judge and/or law clerk) wants and often needs a gestalt sense of the context of the case and motion. With this in mind, the best way to win the motion is to be sure your submission succinctly captures the story of the case. You start with your table of contents (often the very first thing the court reads) ensuring that it logically and persuasively tells the story of the case. Specifically, try to have the headings and subheadings read like a contents summary of your story—not one or two word captions.

Adherence to story, not titles, is equally important with the introduction and the oral argument. For example, in a brief I presented in an unsafe road conditions case, the statement of facts had the following story-based subheadings: a. The Fullers on Vacation to See Their Daughter; b. The Tragic Head-On Accident; c. The Witnesses Confirming Blind-Spot in Roadway; d. Investigating Officer’s Testimony Confirming Road Condition Obscures Vision; e. Expert’s Opinion Demonstrating Serpentine Roadway Created Dangerous Condition; and f. Devastating Aftermath of the Collision. You read the story in condensed and persuasive form.

3. Employ Innocence by Association

In persuading judges (and their law clerks) why your client should win significant motions it is critical to associate the desired result with positive values—innocence by association if you will. These values can include justice, fairness, predictability, compassion, economy, protection, credibility, stare decisis, and the like. Identify the core value and then emphasize the connection with that result and the identified positive attribute.

In writing and arguing for (or against) a motion before the court, always ask yourself why the judge should feel good about ruling in your client’s favor. Certainly, this “innocence by association” values-grab embraces all three forms of Aristotelian proof: logos, ethos, and also pathos.

For example, if you are opposing a motion to seal documents, you could full-throatedly embrace the positive value of transparency as you argue for enhanced public access to the documents in question. In contrast, if you are making such a motion, you appeal to the positive value of privacy.

4. Avoid “Red Flag” Clues for the Judicial Reader

Many times attorneys writing legal briefs will attempt to gloss over argumentative weaknesses through adjectives, adverbs and overblown conclusions. For example, the writer may describe an equivocal proposition as “clearly established” or pejoratively describe the opposing side’s position as “nonsensical” or “ridiculous.” To the experienced (and sometimes even novice) judicial reader, such phrases can be perceived as indicators of weakness not strength.

Similarly, a not unusual mistake is to set forth abstract—albeit even black letter law—propositions that are not tied to the specific point in question. For example, attorneys often overutilize precious brief space on the governing standard (e.g. for summary judgment) when the court likely has addressed hundreds of such motions in the past. Spending too much time and string cites on obvious propositions is also a red flag for an argument’s weaknesses.

Another “red flag” taught to judicial personnel reading your briefs is when the litigant cites to quite-dated or out-of-state authorities. This often will give the impression that, in fact, there is no current or governing authority on point. To the contrary, be sensible in your citations employing the following practices:

- *Avoid string cites (especially without parenthetical explanations)*
- *For controlling case law, break out the facts and holding, explain its governing significance and provide quote(s) from the case to confirm you got it right.*
- *Be straightforward about adverse authority, i.e., present the citation and distinguish its holding (especially if the other side has strongly relied on it).*
- *Eschew footnotes – meaning that judicial readers often skip their content and wonder why the point is placed outside the body of the argument.*

5. Avoid Ad Hominem Attacks and Language

In writing motions and presenting oral argument, you must never give the impression to the court that it is personal. Thus, you are to avoid ad hominem attacks and language, i.e., keep the arguments focused on the issues and not opposing counsel or the court.

Particularly when opposing counsel is quite annoying, you absolutely must refrain from personalizing the briefing and argument. Remember, that most judges lack the information and often desire to referee personal disputes between counsel. It’s like the Godfather—it’s business, not personal.

6. Argue to Win

While it is probably true that oral argument does not change the judge’s mind in a high percentage of cases, you still want oral argument (i.e., rarely waive it) to see if you can change any predisposition that might be directed against your client’s position. Thus, the most important rule for oral argument is to listen to the judge because that is who is going to decide the motion and what he or she cares about. Answering the judge’s questions is the only thing that matters.

In this regard, do not rehash your papers or recite platitudes that are not directly responsive to the judge’s concerns. Triage at argument to your most persuasive point, but go wherever the judge takes you. If you cite to a court decision, be prepared to advise the court of the facts of that case and address any adverse aspects of its holding.

7. Never Squander Credibility

Whether as a macro (your career) or a micro (this briefing and argument) your credibility is precious and can never be squandered. The cases you present must say what you say they say. By the same token, exaggeration or overreaching is your enemy.

The moment the judge or reader of your briefs distrusts even the smallest of points, that lack of credibility infects everything. Be a strong advocate but make arguments and present authorities that are credible. When I started my career as a judicial law clerk, on my first day on the job, the judge warned me that briefs from one particular firm simply could not be trusted and all cases cited must be read. That was a kiss of reputational death you absolutely must avoid.