

Case-making language analytics that take you from file to trial

When the success of litigation rides on the arguments you make in briefs or in court, words matter. With the advanced case law language analytics of **Context on the Lexis®** service, you can uncover vital litigation insights that can't be found anywhere else—down to the specific language, cases and judges your judge relies on most often.

Access additional resources on Context at [LexisNexis.com/Context](https://www.lexisnexis.com/Context).

Contents

- Getting Started With Context..... 2
- Judge Analytics
 - Overview..... 3
 - Motion Language..... 4
 - Citation Patterns 5
 - Documents 6
- Expert Witness Analytics
 - Search By Name or By Area of Expertise 7
 - Overview..... 8
 - Federal Challenges 9
- Court Analytics
 - Overview..... 10
 - Motion Language..... 11
 - Citation Patterns 12
 - Documents 13
- Company Analytics
 - Overview..... 14
 - Litigation Analytics..... 15
 - News Analytics 16
 - Documents 17

Build your strongest argument using language proven to persuade your judge.


Hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or build your case against opposing counsel's expert.

Anticipate case outcomes with data-driven analysis of your judge's or court's previous opinions.

Know the opposing party, from who will represent them to the motions they are likely to file.

GETTING STARTED WITH CONTEXT

Sign in to the Lexis® service at [Lexis.com](https://www.lexis.com) and select **Context** from the product switcher. Trouble signing in? Try the **Forgot your ID or password?** link or call Customer Support at 800-543-6862.




The screenshot illustrates the LexisNexis interface. At the top left, a product switcher (labeled 'A') displays a grid of product options including Context, Lex Machina™, Nexis® Dossier, Counsel Benchmarking, Lexis®, Nexis Newsdesk™, CourtLink®, Lexis+, Public Records, Get & Print, Lexis Practice Advisor®, Publisher, Intelligize™, Lexis® Tax, Securities Mosaic, Interactive Citation Workstation, Medmal Navigator, Shepard's® Briefcheck™, Law 360, MLex®, and Shepard's® Brieflink. The 'Context' product is selected, and its interface is shown in the foreground (labeled 'B'). The Context interface features a search bar (labeled 'C') with the placeholder text 'Enter an expert, name, area of expertise, company name, judge or court' and a dropdown menu for 'Experts'. Below the search bar, the text reads 'Context' followed by 'Exclusive Legal Language Analytics' and a brief description: 'Whether you need to evaluate the strength of an expert witness, craft an argument to persuade a judge, uncover a company's opportunities and risks, or determine what court venue to file in, Context will give you the immediate insights you need.'

- A** **Product switcher:** Navigate to other LexisNexis® products through the product switcher.
- B** **Product name:** Identify the current product you are working within.
- C** **Search:** Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge or court to run a search across millions of case law documents. Apply a pre-search filter for experts, judges or courts if you would like to narrow search results to a given entity.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Context Judge Analytics help you craft better briefs by giving you access to the language that is likely to resonate with your judge—language your judge uses regularly in granting or denying motions like the one you’re developing right now. Gain insights into other judges and cases your judge cites most often and finds most persuasive.



A Judicial Experience

- 2010 - Present
 - Judge United States District Court, California Northern Appointed by President Barack Obama
- 2008 - 2010
 - Judge California Superior Court, Santa Clara County Appointed by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger

B Opinions by Areas of Law (based on cases)

Area of Law	Number of Opinions
Civil Procedure	2247
Criminal Law & Procedure	903
Civil Rights Law	776
Evidence	616
Constitutional Law	587

C Link to this page

D Create Report

Experience as an Attorney

- Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Professional Corporation
- McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Education

- 1993 Harvard Law School Doctor of Jurisprudence
- 1990 Harvard University Bachelor of Arts Magna Cum Laude

Contact

Website: <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>
<http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/lhk>

Opinions Per Year (based on cases)

Year	Number of Opinions
2010	~100
2011	~400
2012	~450
2013	~350
2014	~250
2015	~250
2016	~250
2017	~250
2018	~250
2019	~150

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular judge is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the judge’s experience and activity over time.

- A** View high-level information about the judge, including Education, Experience as an Attorney prior to taking the bench and Judicial Experience.
- B** Quickly view the judge’s Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the judge’s background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share judge analytics with colleagues.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive motion outcomes chart:** Examine a judge’s rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state level. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by a judge, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (Granted, Partial or Denied ruling).
- C** View all cases where the judge ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge’s decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

The screenshot shows the LexisNexis Judge Analytics interface for Judge Lucy H. Koh. At the top, there is a search bar for the judge's name and navigation links for Overview, Analytics, and Documents. The 'Analytics' tab is active, and the 'Citation Patterns' sub-tab is selected. Below this, there are filters and a 'Frequently Cited' section with a bar chart. The chart lists various cases, with 'Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly' being the most cited. To the right of the chart, there are three examples of citation patterns, each with a 'More Like This' link. A red circle 'A' highlights the 'Frequently Cited' section, and a red circle 'B' highlights the citation pattern examples.


Case Name	Frequency (Relative)
Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly	High
Ashcroft v. Iqbal	Medium-High
Lopez v. Smith	Medium
Manzarek v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins...	Medium-Low
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett	Low-Medium
Fayer v. Vaughn	Low
Ballistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't	Low
Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g	Low
Shaw v. Hahn	Low
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.	Low
West v. Atkins	Low
Foman v. Davis	Low
Western Mining Council v. Watt	Low
Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu	Low
Hartman v. Gilead Scis., Inc. (In re Gile...	Low
Weisbuch v. County of Los Angeles	Low
Navarro v. Block	Low
Slack v. McDaniel	Low

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your judge.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your judge cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

JUDGE ANALYTICS




The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Judge Analytics interface for Judge Lucy H. Koh at the United States District Court, California Northern. The interface includes a search bar at the top, a navigation menu with 'Documents' selected, and a filters sidebar on the left. The main content area shows a list of 2696 results, with the first seven items visible. A dropdown menu is open for sorting options, showing options like 'Date (Newest - Oldest)', 'Document Title (A-Z)', and 'Court (Lowest - Highest)'. Red callout letters A, B, and C are placed on the interface to highlight specific features.

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your judge from across the Lexis service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each judge, yielding more precise results than a name search.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title, Court or Date.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS

Whether you're looking to hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or building your case against opposing counsel, Context Expert Witness Analytics are your go-to resource with access to 400,000+ expert witness profiles. Search from 9,000+ areas of expertise to find the expert most relevant to your case.




The screenshot shows the Context Expert Witness Analytics interface. At the top, there is a search bar with the text "What would you like to search for?". Below the search bar, a dropdown menu is open, showing "Expert" selected and "Internal Med" entered. A search wheel displays suggested areas of expertise: Internal Medicine Occupational Medicine, Internal Medicine Sleep Medicine, Internal Medicine, Internal Medicine Ime, and Internal Medicine Diabetes. The main search results page shows "Experts matching area of expertise: Internal Medicine". The results are filtered by "Area of Expertise" and "Internal Medicine". The results table includes columns for Name, Areas of Expertise, Hiring Party, Top Jurisdictions, Jury Verdicts & Settlements, Testimony & Reports, and Challenges. The results are sorted by Relevance.

Name	Areas of Expertise	Hiring Party	Top Jurisdictions	Jury Verdicts & Settlements	Testimony & Reports	Challenges
1. Allen, David Peter M.D., Miami, FL	Neurology, Internal Medicine Geriatrics	Mostly Plaintiff	Broward County Circuit	17	23	3
2. Smith, Grace Katherine M.D., Cincinnati, OH	Medical Oncology, Hematology Internal Medicine	Mostly Defense	Court of Common Pleas	105	12	3
3. Davis, Timothy Michael M.D., Arlington Heights, IL	Clinical Neurophysiology, Neurology Internal Medicine	Mostly Plaintiff	Cook County	27	7	5
4. Jones, William James M.D., New York, NY	Family Medicine, Internal Medicine Geriatrics	Mostly Other	Queens Supreme	43	3	3
5. Martinez, Eduardo	Pulmonary & Critical Care Medicine		Fulton County State	44	8	17

Search By Name or By Area of Expertise

- A** From the Context home page, select Experts from the drop-down menu and begin with a simple search if you already know your expert. If you're looking to identify an expert, input the area of expertise.
- B** The search word wheel displays matching areas of expertise and suggested Areas of Expertise to consider from our extensive database.
- C** Find and compare experts using Areas of Expertise, Hiring Party, Top Jurisdictions, Jury Verdicts & Settlements, Testimony & Reports and Challenges.
- D** Narrow results to match your case jurisdiction using post-search filters for State and City.
- E** Browse areas of expertise to filter or expand results as you look for the precise expertise needed for your case.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS



A Overview

B CVs (16 Total)

C Hiring Party (1297 Cases)

D Link to this page

E Set up your report

Name your report: Dr. Jones MD FACC

Choose an option for your report:

- Overview
- Full Report (This includes Overview, Analytics, and Related Materials)
- Custom (Select content sections to include)


Next

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular expert is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the expert's experience and activity over time.

- A** Quickly view critical information about your expert, including Contact details, Hiring Party, Professional Experience, Licenses and Certifications.
- B** Access all curricula vitae the Lexis service has available for your expert to identify changes over time which may indicate circumstances worth investigating, such as educational degrees or certificates that have been eliminated.
- C** See your expert's litigation experience, including Hiring Party, as well as number of Cases Per Year. Also view practice areas and jurisdictions where your expert has testified.
- D** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- E** Create a full or custom report to export and share expert analytics with colleagues.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS



Dr. Jones M.D., F.A.C.C.
Cardiovascular Diseases, Internal Medicine

Overview Analytics Documents **D**

Client: None History Help More

Link to this page Create Report

Federal Challenges

A Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis (4) **C**

Admitted Admitted in Part Excluded

75% 25%

B Challenges by Type

Cases	Methodology	Qualification	Relevance	Procedural	Outcome
Farley v. United States		●			●
Thornton v. Mitchell	●	●			●
Austin v. Delitch	●	●			●
Seery v. Krishnan		●			●

All Challenge Decisions(4)


- Farley v. United States**
United States District Court, New Hampshire | 2015-04-03
Decision
And, it should be noted that Dr. Jones was one of just two cardiologists who testified as expert witnesses in this case.
Grounds for Challenge: Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Thornton v. Mitchell**
United States District Court, Alabama Middle | 2018-07-09
Decision
Like Dr. Jones, Dr. Gandy similarly opines that a physician should have been informed of Mrs. Riley's medical circumstances, she should have been informed of the risks associated with delaying the procedure, and that Defendants failed to appropriately ensure Mrs. Riley's health issues and problems were addressed, considered, and handled during Dr. Mitchell's period of absence. ... The court finds that Dr. Jones and Gandy are appropriately qualified to offer expert medical testimony on these matters.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Austin v. Delitch**
New Jersey Superior Court, Essex County | 2013-06-10
Decision
Having found Dr. Jones unqualified to testify as to the standard of care owed by a general surgeon to a patient in post-operative care, and the departure therefrom, plaintiffs are left without an expert witness and cannot meet their burden of proof in proving malpractice against both Dr. Delitch, and vicariously, against UMDNJ.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Excluded
- Seery v. Krishnan**
Florida Circuit Court, Seventh Judicial Circuit, Volusia County | 2004-12-20
Decision
Defendants may move forward in discovery by means of deposition or otherwise as to Plaintiff's expert witnesses, Dr. Bruce D. Charash to address his expertise in this case and more fully address the issue of prior disqualification(s) as a medical expert and/or limitation(s) of his prior testimony in courts of competent

Federal Challenges

- A** Expert witness Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis detects expert challenges in the federal district court in which a judge has made a ruling. How often the expert's testimony was Admitted, Admitted in Part or Excluded is presented in a quick reference chart.
- B** View a breakdown of opinions depicting the grounds for each challenge: Methodology, Qualification, Relevance and Procedural. An opinion will have no dots if the language does not indicate the grounds for challenge or is unclear when discussing the grounds.
- C** Explore All Challenge Decisions to see where your expert has testified, grounds for challenge(s) and specific reasons why a judge excluded or admitted the expert's testimony.
- D** Without having to run additional searches, all available Documents pertaining to your expert from across the Lexis service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each expert, yielding more precise results than a name search.

COURT ANALYTICS

Whether you're a plaintiff determining where to file your case or defense counsel considering a transfer of venue, Context Court Analytics quickly show how many cases like yours have been tried in a court and how the court ruled so you can build your best arguments using language proven to persuade your court.



United States District Court, California Northern
US

Overview Analytics Documents C [Link to this page](#) D [Create Report](#)

A **Court Information**

Jurisdiction	General Jurisdiction	
Type	Federal	
Website	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov	
Locations	Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building 1301 Clay Street Suite 400 S Oakland CA US 94612	San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building 280 South 1st Street San Jose CA US 95113

[Browse All Locations](#)

B **Opinions by Areas of Law**
(based on cases)


Civil Procedure	58157
Criminal Law & Procedure	22715
Civil Rights Law	15726
Constitutional Law	12985
Evidence	12400

[View 5 More](#)

Active Judges

Name	Year Appointed
Claudia Wilken Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building	2014
Edward J. Davila San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building	2011
Vince Chhabria San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2014
Jacqueline Scott Corley San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2011

Opinions Per Year
(based on cases)



Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular court is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the court's aggregated history.

- A** View high-level information about the court, including Jurisdiction, Type, Website, Locations and Active Judges.
- B** Quickly view the court's aggregated Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the court's background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share court analytics with colleagues.

COURT ANALYTICS

A Motion Decisions from United States District Court, California Northern's cases

Legend: ■ Granted ■ Partial ■ Denied

Motion Type	Analysis	Total
motion to dismiss	~80% Granted, ~15% Partial, ~5% Denied	18550
motion for summary judgment	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	15009
motion for leave	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	3170
motion to strike	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	2760
motion for remand	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	2375
motion to compel	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	2338
motion for stay	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1792
motion to amend	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1591
motion for reconsideration	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1574
motion for injunctive relief	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1525
motion for fees	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1465
motion to exclude	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1345
motion for default judgment	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1362
motion for appointment of guardian	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1238
motion for sanctions	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1202
motion for intervention	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1193
motion for judicial notice	~50% Granted, ~30% Partial, ~20% Denied	1148


C 16701 cases where United States District Court, California Northern ruled on a motion to dismiss

- Clapp v. City & County of San Francisco**
Hon. Laurel Beeler | Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144023
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: The court grants CCSF's motion to dismiss.
- Brittain v. Twitter Inc.**
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers | Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143995
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: Consequently, the Court certifies that any appeal taken from the Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss of this action will not be taken in good faith and is therefore frivolous.
- Colgate v. Juul Labs**
Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144027
Motions: motion to dismiss ⊖ partial, motion to compel ✘ denied

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive motion outcomes chart:** Examine a court's rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state level. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by the court, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (Granted, Partial or Denied ruling).
- C** View all cases where the court ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge's decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

COURT ANALYTICS



Context All Client: -None- History Help More

United States District Court, California Northern
US

Overview **Analytics** Documents Link to this page Create Report

Motion Language Citation Patterns

FILTERS

Frequently Cited Opinions Judges **A**

Entity	Citation Frequency (Relative)
Liberty Lobby	High
Celotex Corp. Catrett	Medium-High
Balistreri Pacifica Police Dep't	Medium
Bell Atlantic Corporation Tw...	Medium
Ashcroft Iqbal	Medium
West Atkins	Medium
Strickland Washington	Medium
Williams (Terry) Taylor	Medium
Farmer Brennan	Medium
Hanlon Chrysler Corp.	Medium
Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. Z...	Medium
Estelle Gamble	Medium
Rand Rowland	Medium
Slack McDaniel	Medium
McGuckin	Medium
Brecht	Medium

B

United States District Court, California Northern citing **Liberty Lobby** ⓘ
Most common language

Citing Anderson Liberty Lobby, Inc. in **Barrow v. San Francisco Sheriff's Dep't**
Lorem Ipsum for courtname | Aug 5, 2019

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). Summary judgment is appropriate when, after adequate discovery, there is no genuine issue as to any material facts and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Id.; see Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986). Material facts are those that might affect the outcome of the case. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986). A dispute as to a material fact is "genuine" if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for the nonmoving party.

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson Liberty Lobby, Inc. in **Hollis v. Risenhoover**
Lorem Ipsum for courtname | Jul 22, 2019

Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986). A fact is material if it might affect the outcome of the lawsuit under governing law, and a dispute about such a material fact is genuine "if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986).


[More Like This](#)

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your court.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your court cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

COURT ANALYTICS



The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Court Analytics interface for the United States District Court, California Northern. The interface includes a search bar at the top with the text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge or court". Below the search bar, there are navigation tabs for "Overview", "Analytics", and "Documents" (highlighted with a red circle 'A'). To the right of the tabs are links for "Link to this page" and "Create Report".

On the left side, there is a "FILTERS" sidebar (highlighted with a red circle 'B') with various filter categories such as "Content Type", "Search Within Results", "Timeline", "Subscription", "Published Status", "Source", "Practice Areas & Topics", and "Attorney".

The main content area shows a list of search results for "Cases" (84364 Results). The results are sorted by "Date (Newest - Oldest)" (highlighted with a red circle 'C'). The list includes the following entries:


1. [Woods v. Marquez, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161373](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161373
2. [Walker v. Popow, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161369](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161369
3. [Overton v. Uber Techs., Inc., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161374](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161374
4. [Lundy v. Anthem Life Ins. Co., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161293](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161293
5. [Lomack v. Beam, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161370](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161370
6. [In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prods. Liab. Litig., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161388](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161388

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your court from across the Lexis service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to the Lexis service and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title or Date.

COMPANY ANALYTICS

Whether you need to understand the current landscape your clients are facing or dig deeply into a company you are litigating against to uncover which motions they are likely to file, who will represent them or what a reasonable settlement may be, Context Company Analytics can help. It is the only solution to bring litigation, financial and news information together into robust analytical company profiles.




Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular company is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the company's aggregated history.

- A** View high-level information about the company, including Address, Type, Duns number, Employees, contact information and company Hierarchy.
- B** Quickly view the company's aggregated Litigation Events by Representation, Court and Practice Area to understand the company's litigation history.
- C** Set up a CourtLink® alert for notification of new docket activity related to this company.
- D** Get a high-level view of the company's financials for the last fiscal year and an overview of its stock performance over time.
- E** See major News trends affecting this company.
- F** Create a full or custom report to export and share company analytics with colleagues.

COMPANY ANALYTICS



Litigation involving Ford Motor Company

Representation	Practice Area	Court	Count
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.			297
Bowman and Brooke LLP			245
Baker & Hostetler LLP			193
Hule, Fernambucq & Stewart, LLP			172
McGuireWoods LLP			153
Hogan Lovells			140
Thompson Coe & O'Meara, L.L.P.			108
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP			108
Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP			105
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP			102
Baker, Donelson, Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC			101
Flaherty Sensabaugh Bonasso PLLC			92

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. representing Ford Motor Company (297)

- [Davis v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36287
- [Green v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36282
- [Crehan v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36279
- [Crehan v. Ford Motor \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 37507
- [Doyle v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36732
- [Reed v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36295
- [Heuss v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)
United States District Court, Florida Southern | 2020-02-28 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36724
- [Abi-Hanna v. Ford Motor Co. \(In re Takata Airbag Prods. Liab. Litig.\)](#)

Litigation Analytics

- A** **Interactive Representation chart:** See which law firms have represented the company and how often. Click on any of them to view the associated case list for that law firm.
- B** **Interactive Practice Area chart:** Understand how often the company has been involved in litigation in a practice area. Click on any of the practice areas to view the associated case list for that practice area.
- C** **Interactive Court chart:** View the courts the company has been a litigant in. Click on any court to view the associated case list for that court.
- D** View all cases associated with the selected Representation, Practice Area or Court.

COMPANY ANALYTICS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Company Analytics interface for Ford Motor Company. At the top, the navigation bar includes 'Overview', 'Analytics', 'People', and 'Documents'. Below this, there are tabs for 'Litigation' and 'News Storylines'. The main content area shows a bar chart titled '140 Storylines' and '328 News Articles' with a 'Sort By: Volume' dropdown. The chart displays data from 2016 to 2020. A sidebar on the right lists '25 Articles' with a list of legal cases related to 'Defective F-150 Door Lock Suit'.

News Analytics

- A** **Interactive News Storylines:** See the news trends affecting a particular company, how often the company has been in the news for that topic and the related lawsuits. Click on a storyline to view the associated News Articles or Related Lawsuits.
- B** View related News Articles and Related Lawsuits to easily understand a company's historic and most recent news and litigation risks.

COMPANY ANALYTICS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis interface for Ford Motor Company. At the top, there's a search bar and navigation tabs for Overview, Analytics, People, and Documents. A filters sidebar on the left allows narrowing results by Content Type (Cases, Dockets, etc.), Search Within Results, Court, Timeline, Subscription, Published Status, Source, Practice Areas & Topics, Attorney, Law Firm, Citations, Keyword, Judge, and Publisher. The main area shows 93367 results for 'Cases'. A 'Sort By' dropdown menu is open, showing options like Date (Newest - Oldest), Document Title (A-Z), and Court (Lowest - Highest). Red callout letters A, B, and C are placed over the 'Documents' tab, the filters sidebar, and the 'Sort By' dropdown respectively.

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your company from across the Lexis service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title or Date.

Sign in: [Lexis.com](https://www.lexis.com)

Learn more: [LexisNexis.com/Context](https://www.lexisnexis.com/context)

Contact your LexisNexis® representative for more information.