

Be Confident in Your Research with LexisNexis

LexisNexis® services provide an unmatched degree of security. We have a 24/7 security team that engages in threat assessment and shuts down any pages with suspicious activity. LexisNexis content is delivered on dedicated DOC servers; we block any external linking; we only allow access from pre-screened IP addresses; and we are behind F5 firewalls that detect spoofing or malware. Casemaker® and Fastcase® simply cannot match the security or the editorial enhancements we provide, including:

- **Case Summaries**—a brief overview of the opinion to help determine relevancy
- **Headnotes**—a collection of the important pieces of law contained in the text
- **Positive Treatment**—indicated citing cases that have followed legal holdings
- **Topic Summaries**—a consolidated resource for research on a specific topic
- **Citation Summary**—at-a-glance preview of how a case has been treated

Moreover, LexisNexis provides access to secondary sources and treaties, like the industry-leading Matthew Bender® collection, to help users understand and interpret the law.

Better Results

When doing legal research, the results are only as good as the content you're searching. Casemaker and Fastcase are missing content and we've run some sample searches to show you.*

Sample Search	Casemaker Results	Fastcase Results	Lexis Advance® Results
"probable cause" and "search warrant" and validity	21,183	19,881	26,294
"habeas corpus" and petition and tolling	22,766	17,922	53,825
"ineffective assistance of counsel" /10 burden	12,504	11,784	22,042

More Results

To further highlight the superior collection of content on Lexis Advance, here are the citing references for two common federal statutes researched by inmates.

Statute Citation	Casemaker Citing References	Fastcase Citing References	Lexis Advance Citing References
28 USC 2254	1,055	72,376	257,320
28 USC 2255	1,021	50,793	163,862

Finding Bad Law

Casemaker and Fastcase provide a citator to help users determine whether case law is still valid; but they rely on a computer algorithm instead of human editors like at LexisNexis; and Casemaker and Fastcase often get it wrong. Here are some examples.

Cases	Casemaker Results	Fastcase Results	Lexis Advance Results
<i>Sumner v. Mata</i> —449 US 539	Casemaker says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Fastcase says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Lexis Advance will show you negative treatment where the case was superseded by statute.
<i>Wallace v. Price</i> —265 F.Supp.2d 545	Casemaker says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Fastcase says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Lexis Advance will show you negative treatment in the appellate history where the case was vacated and a substitute opinion issued.
<i>Kuhlmann v. Wilson</i> —477 US 436	Casemaker says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Fastcase says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Lexis Advance will show you negative treatment where the case was superseded by statute.
<i>Commissioner v. Schleier</i> —4515 US 323	Casemaker says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Fastcase says this case is still good law, but it is wrong.	Lexis Advance will show you negative treatment where the case was superseded by statute.

For more information, contact the
LexisNexis Prison Solutions Sales Line

800.628.3612 | Prison.solutions@lexisnexis.com

*Comparison data based on information available as of July 2019.